

# Artikel dan Bukti Korespondensi

Jurnal IPTEKS PSP. Vol. 10 (1) April 2023: 1 – 15

P-ISSN: 2355-729X  
E-ISSN: 2614-5014

## THE INFLUENCE OF OCEAN CURRENT PATTERNS ON SURFACE MARINE DEBRIS DISTRIBUTION IN MAKASSAR CITY WATERS

**Ahmad Faizal\*, Shinta Werorilangi, Wasir Samad**

Department of Marine Sciences, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Fisheries, Universitas Hasanuddin, Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan 10 Tamalanrea Makassar, South Sulawesi, 90245. Indonesia.

\*Corresponding author: ahmad.faizal@unhas.ac.id

Manuscript Received: 10 April 2023

Revision Accepted: 26 April 2023

DOI: 10.20956/jipsp.v10i1.26391

### Daftar Isi

- I. Artikel
- II. Bukti Korespondensi
  - 2.1. Submit Artikel
  - 2.2. Review Artikel
  - 2.3. Perbaikan atas Review Artikel
  - 2.4. Accepted
  - 2.5. Publish

# THE INFLUENCE OF OCEAN CURRENT PATTERNS ON SURFACE MARINE DEBRIS DISTRIBUTION IN MAKASSAR CITY WATERS

Ahmad Faizal\*, Shinta Werorilangi, Wasir Samad

Department of Marine Sciences, Faculty of Marine Sciences and Fisheries, Universitas Hasanuddin, Jl. Perintis Kemerdekaan 10 Tamalanrea Makassar, South Sulawesi, 90245. Indonesia.

\*Corresponding author: ahmad.faizal@unhas.ac.id

Manuscript Recived: 10 April 2023

Revision Accapted: 26 April 2023

DOI: 10.20956/jipsp.v10i1.26391

## ABSTRACT

A survey of the abundance and distribution of macro debris (>2.5 - <100 cm) and meso-debris (>0.5 – <2.5 cm) has been carried out in Makassar City waters. This research was carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris following ocean current patterns. The macro debris abundance range is 2222-17222 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris range is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, with the dominance of 47,03% plastic debris for macro sizes and 49,74% wood debris for meso-sizes. The highest abundance was found at the Jeneberang estuary, then at the Losari Coastal Waters, and the lowest at the mouth of the Tallo River. The current pattern in Makassar City waters at low or high tide tends to move from north to south towards the Jeneberang River estuary, with the current speed getting southern and slower. This condition causes a high abundance of macro and meso debris at the mouth of the Jeneberang River.

**Keywords:** Floating, Macro debris, Marine debris, Meso-debris, Pollution

## INTRODUCTION

Ocean currents play a significant role in the circulation of water areas. They are patterns of water movement that are driven by winds, tides, and differences in water density. These currents transport heat, marine organisms, nutrients, and dissolved gases such as carbon dioxide and oxygen ( Hay, 2017). Ocean currents play an important role in the distribution and accumulation of marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021; Faizal et al., 2021; NOAA, 2016). Ocean currents control the distribution and accumulation of floating marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021], carrying it into concentrations known as the Ocean Garbage

Patch (Samurovic, 2021). The particles from the model also migrate to the garbage patch due to ocean currents (NOAA, 2016), and its distribution in the ocean is poorly mapped due to the influence of ocean currents on its movement. Once beneath high-pressure systems, the floating debris appears to meander aimlessly, further demonstrating how ocean currents affect its accumulation (van Sebille et al., 2020).

Marine debris is any persistent, manufactured, or processed solid material discarded, disposed of, or abandoned in the marine and coastal environment (Richards & Begger,2011; Jambeck et al., 2015; NOAA, 2016; Agumuthu et al., 2019). Marine debris is the

result of waste from anthropogenic activities, which then enters the marine environment through marine hydrodynamic activities (NOAA, 2016) or rivers, disposal canals, (Offer et al., 2012), waste from ships, tourism activities (Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen, 2014) and the movement of waste carried by wind and ocean currents (GESAMP, 2019).

Marine debris accumulation in marine waters may have a bad impact to marine ecosystems, silting of river estuaries, decreasing the aesthetic value of tourism areas, and ultimately reducing the quality of life of the community (Gregory, 2019). Furthermore, Jambeck et al. (2015) reported that the number of marine debris in 2015 in world waters was around 36.5 million metric tons (MMT) and Indonesia contributed 3.22 MMT, which ranks second after China from 192 coastal countries. It is even estimated that if there is no serious handling of marine debris, in 2025 there will be an increase in marine debris of around 52.21% or around 69.9 MMT (Jambeck. et al., 2015; Barboza et al., 2019).

The city of Makassar, which is located in a coastal area, is vulnerable to marine debris

threats. Research results show that there is an accumulation of marine debris with quite a high abundance (Asmal et al., 2021; Rafsanjani et al., 2021). This study aims to map the distribution of surface marine debris abundance based on the influence of ocean current patterns and velocity.

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

### Research site

This research was conducted in October 2020, which is located in the sea waters of Makassar City. Sample analysis was carried out at the Marine Ecotoxicology Laboratory, Faculty of Marine Science and Fisheries, Hasanuddin University. Determination of data collection points using the purposive sampling method, taking into account the observed parameters and the representativeness of the area coverage. The distribution of sampling points is as shown in Figure 1, respectively; Station 1 at the Jeneberang River Estuary (119°22'48.455"E and 5°11'31.361" S), Station 2 at Losari Beach (119°23'50.611" E and 5°7'57.954" S), and station 3 at the Tallo River Estuary (119°26'37.639" E and 5°5'36.493" S).




Figure 1. Location of research data collection

**Method**

The size of marine debris in the waters is based on the criteria of Lippiat et al. (2013) and is divided into several classifications; mega (>100 cm), macro (>2.5 - <100 cm), meso (>0.5 – <2.5 cm), micro (>0.033 – <0.5 cm) and nano (<1 μm), in this study the categories measured are macro and meso. The transect technique used in this study was modified from (Lippiatt et al. 2013).

Each station is made of a transect with a size of 2000 x 2000 m, where each station has five substations with a size of 400 m x 400 m, then each substation has four tracks with a length of 300 m each. Sampling method using Neuston Net (neuston net specifications; mesh size 0.5 mm, net size 1.5 x 0.50 m). The nets attached to the boats are towed at each substation with a maximum speed of 5 knots.




Figure 2. Sampling scheme at each station

The waste collected from each transect is separated based on the size of the waste (macro and meso), Then calculated the amount of waste and the weight of marine debris for each category. The current measurement uses an Electric Current Meter (ECM) at the highest tide conditions until near low tide.

**Data analysis**

The density of beach waste based on the amount and weight is calculated by equation 1 (Lippiatt et al. 2013)

$$Abundance (K) = \frac{n}{l \times p} \tag{1}$$

Where n = amount of marine debris (item); p = transect length (km) and l = net width (km)

The spatial distribution of marine debris was mapped based on the abundance at each sub-station. Analysis of the distribution of current direction and speed data for seasonal periods, using the RMA-2 module. (equations 2, 3, and 4) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003).

The mass equation as below:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + h \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{2}$$

Momentum equation:

In the x-direction:

$$h \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{g \sin^2 \phi}{(1.486 h^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \cos \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \tag{3}$$

In the y-direction:

$$h \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{g \sin^2 \phi}{(1.486 h^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \sin \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \tag{4}$$

where: *h*= water depth (m); *t* = time (sec); *u, v* = velocity component in X and Y axis (vector); *ρ* = fluid density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>); *g*= gravity acceleration (m<sup>2</sup>/sec.); *E* = viscosity coefficient of turbulence (*xx*, of in the normal towards X axis, *yy*, in the normal towards Y axis. *xy* and *yx*, of coincides in X and Y direction, respectively); *a*= bottom water elevation; *n*= Manning coefficient; *ζ* = wind shear coefficient; *V<sub>a</sub>*= wind speed (m/sec); *ψ* = wind direction (deg); *ω* = angular velocity (rad/sec); and *φ* = latitude (deg)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**General Condition**

Makassar city is located in the south of Sulawesi Island, administratively included in South Sulawesi Province. Geographically, it is directly opposite the Makassar Strait. Makassar City waters are strongly influenced by current movements, both east monsoon and west monsoon currents. The research was carried out in the sea waters of Makassar City, Station 1 at the estuary of the Jeneberang with outlet characteristics from the mainland, tourism activities, and sea transportation. Station 2 is in the vicinity of Losari coastal waters, at this station, there are three canals for city debris disposal and tourism activities. Station 3 is around the estuary of the Tallo River which is close to the harbour and fish auction.




**Figure 3.** General condition of sampling locations in Makassar City Waters (a) Jeneberang River Estuary (b) Losari Beach Waters and (c) Tallo Estuary.

**Ocean current patterns**

Ocean currents greatly influence the movement of marine debris, based on the results of

modelling and field tests, the current conditions in the observation period for each station are shown in Figure 4.



**Figure 4.** The pattern of ocean currents at the time of observation, (left = low tide condition) and (right = high tide condition) at each station (a,b) Jeneberang River Estuary (c,d) Losari Beach Waters, and (e,f) Tallo River Estuary

The current modelling results are in Figure 4(a). shows that in low tide conditions, the current in the Jeneberang River estuary moves predominantly south-westward away from Makassar mainland and then turns southward with an average speed of 0.04-0.08 m/s, while the maximum speed in the estuary area is 0.28-0.33 m/s. In high tide conditions, the current moves northward and then turn eastward towards the mainland. Furthermore, the current speed increases at high tide in the estuary and coastal areas with a dominant speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s and a maximum speed of 0.48-0.52 m/s at the mouth of the river.

Figure 4(b) shows that the sea currents in the waters of Losari Beach are in low tide, the dominant currents move westward away from Makassar mainland with an average current speed of 0.08-0.16 m/s while the maximum speed is around the coast. Losari in the range of 0.48-1.04 m/s. Whereas in the conditions towards the tide the current moves from open

water towards the mainland, with an average speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s.

Figure 4 (c) shows the movement of currents in the Tallo Estuary in low tide conditions, the currents move away from the mainland with an average speed of 0.12-0.13 m/s, in high tide conditions the current moves from east to west. the west direction is then diverted to the north by existing current drag such as reclamation and river estuaries. The average current speed is 0.14-0.16 m/s with a maximum current speed around the reclamation area with a speed range of 0.20-0.26 m/s.

The difference in the current pattern of each station is caused by the dominance of the local current pattern more dominant than the regular current pattern. Based on the observations, the average current speed at each observation station is shown in Figure 5. The average current speed at the Jeneberang River Estuary is 0.34 m/s, Losari Beach is 0.37 m/s and Tallo River Estuary is 0.48 m/s.




Figure 5. Average current velocity from field measurements at each station

The characteristics of ocean currents in Makassar City waters are influenced by wind and tides. At low tide, the current will move from the mainland toward open water (Sugianti and ADS, 2007; Galgani et al., 2015a)

### Abundance and Distribution of Surface Marine Debris

The total amount of macro debris found at the three stations was 219 items. The macro debris category was dominated by plastic debris (47.03%), respectively; The Jeneberang River

estuary has plastic 35.64%, Losari Beach Waters 56.92%, and the Tallo River Estuary 56.60%, shown in Table 1. As for Meso-debris, based on observations at the three stations, the amount of marine debris found was 191 items, with a dominance of types of wood waste (49.74%). The largest percentage of wood-type waste was found in the Jeneberang Estuary at 58.59%, then Losari Beach Waters at 41.3%, and Tallo River Estuary at 39.13%, as shown in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Total Amount and Composition of Macro Debris at Three Stations

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	36	20000	35.64	37	20556	56.92	30	16667	56.60	103	47.03
Styrofoam	33	18333	32.67	5	2778	7.69	15	8333	28.30	53	24.20
Cloth	1	556	0.99	3	1667	4.62	2	1111	3.77	6	2.74
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.89	1	0.46
Metal	5	2778	4.95	3	1667	4.62	1	556	1.89	9	4.11
Paper	0	0	0.00	8	4444	12.31	0	0	0.00	8	3.65
Rubber	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.54	0	0	0.00	1	0.46
Wood	26	14444	25.74	8	4444	12.31	4	2222	7.55	38	17.35
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>56111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>36111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29444</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>100</b>

**Table 2.** Total Amount and Composition of Meso-Debris at Three Stations

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km2)	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	10	5556	10.10	13	7222	28.26	16	8889	34.78	39	20.42
Styrofoam	25	13889	25.25	12	6667	26.09	12	6667	26.09	49	25.65
Cloth	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Metal	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Paper	6	3333	6.06	2	1111	4.35	0	0	0.00	8	4.19
Rubber	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Wood	58	32222	58.59	19	10556	41.30	18	10000	39.13	95	49.74
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100</b>

The total abundance of marine debris for macro and meso-sizes in each plot at each station are shown in Figure 6 and the spatial

analysis of macro and meso-debris distribution on the surface sea is shown in Figure 7. The range of macro debris abundance for all stations

is 2222-1722 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris abundance range for all stations is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

The highest average abundance of macro debris was found at the Jeneberang River Estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River Estuary. The highest average abundance was found in plot 3 in the Jeneberang Estuary (17222 items/km<sup>2</sup>) and the lowest average abundance was found in plot 4 in the Tallo River estuary

(2222 items/km<sup>2</sup>). Likewise, for meso-size marine debris the highest average abundance was also found in the Jeneberang River estuary and the lowest was in the Tallo River estuary where the highest abundance was in plot 1 Jeneberang River (30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>), while the lowest average abundance of meso-debris was found in plots 5 River mouths of 2222 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.





Figure 6. Total abundance of macro and meso-debris in Marine waters of Makassar City.

The research data shows that spatially the abundance of macro debris and meso-debris in the marine waters of Makassar City is highest around the Jeneberang River estuary. The high abundance of marine debris in the Jeneberang River estuary is thought to originate from river runoff (Allopps, 2006) and the accumulation of transportation processes from the river mouth to the waters (Willis et al., 2017), wind and

drainage canals (Lee et al., 2017). Other sources of marine debris are thought to be from tourism activities in the surrounding area, one of which is Tanjung Bayang Beach. The results of research by Fadhlin et al., (2016) explain that the number of tourists in Tanjung Bayang is around 5738 people/day, which of course will be a contributor to waste in the surrounding waters if there is no proper marine debris management.

In line with that, Cheshire et al. (2009) explained that most debris found in the waters is in the form of household waste. The Jeneberang River basin passes through several cities such as Makassar, Malino, Bili-Bili, and Sungguminasa. The percentage of use of the downstream part

of the Jeneberang River basin consists of forests (69%), paddy fields (5%), agriculture (12%), urban areas (14%), and urban land covering 101.78 km located in the estuary area of the Jeneberang River (Fahmi, 2015)




**Figure 7.** Map of distribution the total abundance of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) at each station

In comparison between the amount of macro and meso-debris, meso-debris has a larger amount, this is due to the size of meso-debris, which is the result of the decomposition of mega and macro debris. The cause of the decomposition of marine debris is the length of time the waste is in the sea and the hydrodynamic action of seawater causes the weathering of macro debris to meso-debris (Sebille et al., 2015), physical, chemical, and biological processes which include UV radiation, wave action and degradation by microbes (Lee et al., 2017). Based on the results of field observations that the size of meso-debris is dominated by types of wood, when inundated by seawater the types of wood will easily decompose into smaller forms. The half-life of wood species tends to be faster than plastic (Fendall and Sewell, 2009). The data also shows that the highest amount of meso-debris is 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, which is similar to the amount of marine debris found by Isman, (2016) in the coastal area of Makassar City with an abundance of 36,450 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

The results of the spatial analysis using the interpolation method for the distribution of macro and meso-debris are shown in Figures 8a and 8b. The two figures show that the largest abundance of marine debris is found in the southern part of the Jeneberang River Estuary.

In Losari Beach waters, there are 3 water canals suspected as a source of marine debris supply, namely; Jongaya, Haji Bau, and Rotterdam canals. The abundance of marine debris found in Losari Beach waters ranges from 3889-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> for macro debris and 4444-12,222 items/km<sup>2</sup> for meso-debris, with the greatest abundance located around Lae-lae Island. This shows the small supply of waste from the disposal canals and the possibility that the high marine debris at the station originates from accumulation due to the movement of ocean currents. Coastal reclamation around station 2 causes a shift in current patterns which causes the accumulation of debris in the southern part of the reclamation area (Station S2-2). This condition is corroborated by the opinion of Jaya, (2012) that reclamation greatly affects the physical and chemical conditions of seawater. Apart from the influence of current patterns, it is suspected that another reason for the high abundance of marine debris around Lae-lae Island (station S2-4) is due to tourism activities. This is in line with the results of Syaktia et al., (2017) using the trawling method, that a large amount of accumulated waste in the intertidal zone along the Cilacap coast comes from beach tourism and runoff from the Donan and Serayu Rivers.



**Figure 8.** Map of distribution of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) abundance in the sea waters of Makassar City.

At the station in the Tallo River estuary, an abundance of macro debris was found in the range of 2222-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> and an abundance of meso-debris in the range of 2222-

1111 items/km<sup>2</sup>. Figure 8 shows that the farther from the mouth of the river the abundance of marine debris is higher. The source of marine debris around the Tallo River estuary is thought

to originate from the Tallo River, the Paotere Fish Auction Site, Industry, and settlements. This is corroborated by Setiawan (2013) that the mouth of the Tallo River is a place for waste disposal originating from the Makassar Industrial Area, and transportation activities. In addition, household waste also greatly influences the high abundance of debris, especially types of plastic in water (Jambeck et al., 2015).

If this is related to the movement of ocean currents in Figures 4 and 5, which tend to move southward and experience a slowdown when in the Jeneberang River Estuary, this causes a high abundance of macro and meso-debris in that location. Tables 1 and 2 also show that the largest percentage of the waste is found in the Jeneberang River Estuary, where for the macro size it is dominated by plastic debris, and for the meso-size it is dominated by wood debris. The results of other studies show that generally trash is rarely found in waters with strong currents and high-water masses, trash will sink when it loses its buoyancy (Galgani et al., 2015b).

### CONCLUSION

The The current pattern in Makassar City waters at low or high tide tends to move from north to south towards the mouth of the Jeneberang River, and similarly, the current speed is getting souther and slower. This condition causes a high abundance of macro

and meso debris at the mouth of the Jeneberang River. The type of plastic debris dominates the size of the macro-debris, and the type of wood dominates the meso-debris.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This article is a part of research funded by the National Research Agency (BRIN) through the Hasanuddin University Research and Service Institute. Multi-year Basic Research Scheme based on Research Contract No. 1516 / UN4.22 / PT.01.03 / 2020 the Year 2020

### REFERENCES

- Agamuthu, P., Mehran, S. B., Norkhairah, A., Norkhairiyah, A. 2019. *Marine debris: A review of impacts and global initiatives*. Waste management & research : the journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA, 37(10), 987–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X19845041>
- Allsopp M., Walters A., Santillo D., Johnston P. (2006) *Plastic Debris in the World's Oceans*. Greenpeace Netherlands.
- Asmal M, Shinta W, Sulaiman G, Wasir S, Lanuru M. 2021. *Identification of floating marine debris based on sea surface current pattern in Barrangcaddi Island, Makassar City*. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. p 295-304
- Barboza L G A, Cózar A, Gimenez B C G, Barros T L, Kershaw P J, Guilhermino L. 2019

- Macroplastics Pollution in the Marine Environment, in: World Seas.** An Environmental Evaluation. Elsevier, pp. 305–328
- Chassignet EP, Xu X and Zavala-Romero O, 2021. **Tracking Marine Litter With a Global Ocean Model: Where Does It Go? Where Does It Come From?**. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:667591. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.66759
- Cheshire A., and Adler E., 2009. **UNEP/IOC Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter. United Nations Environment Program. UNEP. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, IOC.**
- Fadlin F., Marfai M.A., Kurniawan A. 2016. **Potensi Wisata dan Preferensi Visual Lanskap Wisatawan Untuk Pengembangan Pariwisata Pesisir (Kasus: Pantai Angin Mamiri dan Tanjung Bayang Kota Makassar).** Majalah Geografi Indonesia 30(1) 19-28.
- Fahmi M.C. 2015. **Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai Jeneberang Kota Makassar Sulawesi Selatan.** Geografi Regional Indonesia. DOI: 0606071645
- Faizal, A., Werorilangi, S., Samad, W., Lanuru, M., Dalimunte, W. S., Yahya, A. 2021. **Abundance and spatial distribution of marine debris on the beach of Takalar Regency, South Sulawesi.** IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 763(1), 012060. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/763/1/012060
- Fendall L.S., Sewell M.A. 2009. **Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face: Microplastics in facial cleansers.** Marine Pollution Bulletin 58(8):1225-1228.
- Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015a. **Chapter 2 Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of Marine Litter.** Marine Anthropogenic Litter 1:29. DOI: DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3\_2.
- Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015b. **Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of Marine Litter. Marine Anthropogenic Litter 2:29.** DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3\_2.
- GESAMP, 2019. **Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter and microplastics in the ocean** (Kershaw P J, Turra A and Galgani F editors). (IMO/FAO/UNESCO/IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP/ISA Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection) Rep. Stud. GESAMP, No. 99. Henry, B., Laitala, K., and Grimstad, I. 2019. Science of the Total Environment Micro fibres from apparel and home textiles : Prospects for including microplastics in environmental sustainability assessment. Science of the Total Environment. 652 483–494
- Gregory M. R. 2009. **Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings--entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions.** Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences, 364(1526), 2013–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>
- Hays, G. C. 2017. **Ocean currents and marine life.** Current Biology, 27(11), R470-R473.

- doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.044>
- Isman. F.M., 2016. **Identifikasi Sampah Laut di Kawasan Wisata Pantai Kota Makassar**. Skripsi. Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan. Unhas. Makassar
- Jambeck J.R., Geyer R., Wilcox C., Siegler T.R., Perryman M., Anthony Andrady, Narayan R., Law K.L. 2015. **Plastic waste Inputs from land Into The Ocean**. American Association For The Advancement Of Science. 437:768-771. DOI: DOI: 10.1126/science.1260352
- Jaya A.M. 2012. **Kajian Kondisi Lingkungan Dan Perubahan Sosial Ekonomi Reklamasi Pantai Losari Dan Tanjung**. Tesis. Program Pasca Satajana Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Lee, J., Lee, J., Hong, S., Hong, S. H., Shim, W. J., Eo, S. 2017. **Characteristics of meso-sized plastic marine debris on 20 beaches in Korea**. Marine pollution bulletin, 123(1-2), 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.020>
- Lippiatt S., Opfer S., Arthur C. 2013. **Marine Debris Monitoring and Assessment Recommendations for Monitoring Debris Trends in the Marine Environment**, in: N. M. D. P. N. O. a. A. Administration (Ed.), NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-46 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program, 2016).
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program (NOAA). 2016. **Report on Marine Debris Impacts on Coastal and Benthic Habitats**. Silver Spring, MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program.
- Opfer S., Arthur C., Lippiatt S. 2012. **NOAA Marine Debris Shoreline Survey Field Guide**, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and I.M. Systems Group, Inc., USA.
- Rafsanjani, Shinta. W., Wasir .S., Amran. S., Faizal. A., 2021. **Identifikasi Sampah Laut Terapung (Floating Marine Debris) Berdasarkan Pola Musim di Perairan Pulau Barranglompo, Kota Makassar**. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. P 285-294
- Richards Z. T., Beger M., 2011. **A quantification of the standing stock of macro-debris in Majuro lagoon and its effect on hard coral communities**. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(8), 1693-1701
- Samurović K, 2021. **How Ocean Currents Move Pollution Around the World**. Geographyrealm ; <https://www.geographyrealm.com/author/katarina-samurovic/>
- Sebille E.v., Wilco C., Lebreton L., Maximenko N., Hardesty B.D., Franeker J.v., Eriksen M., Siegel D., Galgani F., Lavender K. 2015. **A global inventory of small floating plastic debris**. Environ Res. Lett 10. DOI: 10.1008/1748-9326/10/12/124006.
- Setiawan H. (2013) Akumulasi dan Distribusi Logam Berat pada Vegetasi Mangrove di Perairan Pesisir Sulawesi Selatan. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan Vol VII No.1:12.
- Syaktia A.D., Bouhroumc R., Hidayatib N.V., Koenawand C.J., Boulkamhc A., Sulistyob

- I., Lebarilliere S., Syafsir Akhlusf P.D., Wong-Wah-Chunge P. 2017. ***Beach macro-litter monitoring and floating microplastic in a coastal area of Indonesia***. Marine Pollution Bulletin 112:10. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.046
- Sugianto D.N., ADS A. 2007. ***Studi Pola Sirkulasi Arus Laut di Perairan Pantai Provinsi Sumatera Barat***. Program Studi Oseanografi, Jurusan Ilmu Kelautan FPIK UNDIP Semarang 12 (2):79-92.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003. ***Users Guide To RMA2 WES Version 4.5. US Army***, Engineer Research and Development Center Waterways Experiment Station Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory pp 296
- van Cauwenberghe, L., Janssen, C. R. 2014. ***Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human consumption***. Environmental Pollution, 193, 65-70.
- van Sebille, E., Aliani, S., Law, K. L., Maximenko, N., Alsina, J. M., Bagaev, A., . . . Wichmann, D. 2020. ***The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris***. Environmental Research Letters, 15(2), 023003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d
- Willis, K., Denise Hardesty, B., Kriwoken, L., Wilcox, C. 2017. ***Differentiating littering, urban runoff and marine transport as sources of marine debris in coastal and estuarine environments***. Scientific reports, 7, 44479. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44479>

**Bukti Korespondensi**

**Submit Artikel**

- Compose
- Inbox 296
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent
- Drafts 15
- More
- Is +
- ahmad.faizal@g.u... 55
- BSRE
- LHKPN



### [jipsp] Submission Acknowledgement Inbox x



**Mukti Zainuddin** <uhjournal@unhas.ac.id>  
to me

Mon, Apr 10, 11:06 AM

AFaisal:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters" to Jurnal **IPTEKS** Pemanfaatan Sumberdaya Perikanan. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/iptekspsp/authorDashboard/submission/26391>

Username: faizal

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Mukti Zainuddin

**IPTEKS** <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/ipteks>

# The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters

Ahmad Faizal<sup>1\*</sup>, Shinta Werorilangi<sup>1</sup>, dan Wasir Samad<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departemen Ilmu Kelautan, Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan Dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin

\* ahmad.faizal@unhas.ac.id

## Abstract

A survey of the abundance and distribution of macro debris (>2.5 - <100 cm) and meso-debris (>0.5 – <2.5 cm) has been carried out in Makassar City waters. This research was carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris following ocean current patterns. The macro debris abundance range is 2222-17222 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris range is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, with the dominance of 47,03% plastic debris for macro sizes and 49,74% wood debris for meso-sizes. The highest abundance was found at the Jenneberang estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River estuary. The pattern and speed of ocean currents affect the distribution of marine debris, the high abundance of marine debris in the Jenneberang River estuary is characterized by a slowdown in current velocity, and, the low abundance of marine debris in the Tallo River estuary is characterized by high current velocity.

Keywords: Marine debris, macro debris, meso-debris, floating, pollution, Makassar

## INTRODUCTION

Ocean currents play a significant role in the circulation of water areas. They are patterns of water movement that are driven by winds, tides, and differences in water density. These currents transport heat, marine organisms, nutrients, and dissolved gases such as carbon dioxide and oxygen ( Hay, 2017). Ocean currents play an important role in the distribution and accumulation of marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021; Faizal et al., 2021; NOAA, 2016). Ocean currents control the distribution and accumulation of floating marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021], carrying it into concentrations known as the Ocean Garbage Patch (Samurovic, 2021). The particles from the model also migrate to the garbage patch due to ocean currents (NOAA, 2016), and its distribution in the ocean is poorly mapped due to the influence of ocean currents on its movement. Once beneath high-pressure systems, the floating debris appears to meander aimlessly, further demonstrating how ocean currents affect its accumulation (van Sebille et al., 2020).

Marine debris is any persistent, manufactured, or processed solid material discarded, disposed of, or abandoned in the marine and coastal environment (Richards & Beger, 2011; Jambeck et al., 2015; NOAA, 2016; Agumuthu et al., 2019). Marine debris is the result of waste

from anthropogenic activities, which then enters the marine environment through marine hydrodynamic activities (NOAA, 2016) or rivers, disposal canals, (Offer et al., 2012), waste from ships, tourism activities (Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen, 2014) and the movement of waste carried by wind and ocean currents (GESAMP, 2019).

Marine debris accumulation in marine waters may have a bad impact to marine ecosystems, silting of river estuaries, decreasing the aesthetic value of tourism areas, and ultimately reducing the quality of life of the community (Gregory, 2019). Furthermore, Jambeck et al. (2015) reported that the number of marine debris in 2015 in world waters was around 36.5 million metric tons (MMT) and Indonesia contributed 3.22 MMT, which ranks second after China from 192 coastal countries. It is even estimated that if there is no serious handling of marine debris, in 2025 there will be an increase in marine debris of around 52.21% or around 69.9 MMT (Jambeck. et al., 2015; Barboza et al., 2019).

The city of Makassar, which is located in a coastal area, is vulnerable to marine debris threats. Research results show that there is an accumulation of marine debris with quite a high abundance (Asmal et al., 2021; Rafsanjani et al., 2021). Based on the threat of marine debris to coastal ecosystems including in the Makassar City Waters area, this research was carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris about ocean current patterns.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Research sites**

This research was conducted in October 2020, which is located in the sea waters of Makassar City. Sample analysis was carried out at the Marine Ecotoxicology Laboratory, Faculty of Marine Science and Fisheries, Hasanuddin University. Determination of data collection points using the purposive sampling method, taking into account the observed parameters and the representativeness of the area coverage. The distribution of sampling points is as shown in Figure 1, respectively; Station 1 at the Jeneberang River Estuary (119°22'48.455"E and 5°11'31.361" S), Station 2 at Losari Beach (119°23'50.611" E and 5°7'57.954" S), and station 3 at the Tallo River Estuary (119°26'37.639" E and 5°5'36.493" S).




Figure 1. Location of research data collection

## Methodology

The size of marine debris in the waters is based on the criteria of Lippiatt et al. (2013) and is divided into several classifications; mega (> 100 cm), macro (>2.5 - <100 cm), meso (>0.5 – <2.5 cm), micro (>0.033 – <0.5 cm) and nano (<1  $\mu$ m), in this study the categories measured are macro and meso. The transect technique used in this study was modified from (Lippiatt et al. 2013). Each station is made of a transect with a size of 2000 x 2000 m, where each station has five substations with a size of 400 m x 400 m, then each substation has four tracks with a length of 300 m each. Sampling method using Neuston Net (neuston net specifications; mesh size 0.5 mm, net size 1.5 x 0.50 m). The nets attached to the boats are towed at each substation with a maximum speed of 5 knots.




Figure 2. Sampling scheme at each station

The waste collected from each transect is separated based on the size of the waste (macro and meso), Then calculated the amount of waste and the weight of marine debris for each category. The current measurement uses an Electric Current Meter (ECM) at the highest tide conditions until near low tide.

**Data analysis**

- The density of beach waste based on the amount and weight is calculated by equation 1 (Lippiatt et al. 2013)  
 $Abundance (K) = \frac{n}{l \times p}$  .....(1)  
 Where n = amount of marine debris (item); p = transect length (km) and l = net width (km)
- The spatial distribution of marine debris was mapped based on the abundance at each sub-station.
- Analysis of the distribution of current direction and speed data for seasonal periods, using the RMA-2 module. (equations 2, 3, and 4) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003).

The mass equation as below:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + h \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

Momentum equation:

In the x-direction:

$$h \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{p} \left( E_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{gun^2}{(1.486h^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \cos \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

In the y-direction:

$$h \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{yx} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{g u n^2}{(1.486 h^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \sin \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \quad \dots (4)$$

where:  $h$ = water depth [m],  $t$  = time [sec],  $u, v$  = velocity component in X and Y axis [vector],  $\rho$  = fluid density [kg/m<sup>3</sup>],  $g$ = gravity acceleration [m<sup>2</sup>/sec.<sup>2</sup>],  $E$  = viscosity coefficient of turbulence (xx, of in the normal towards X axis, yy, in the normal towards Y axis. xy and yx, of coincides in X and Y direction, respectively),  $a$ = bottom water elevation,  $n$ = Manning coefficient,  $\zeta$  = wind shear coefficient,  $V_a$ = wind speed [m/sec],  $\psi$  = wind direction [deg.],  $\omega$  = angular velocity [rad/sec] and  $\phi$  = latitude [deg.]

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### General Condition

Makassar city is located in the south of Sulawesi Island, administratively included in South Sulawesi Province. Geographically, it is directly opposite the Makassar Strait. Makassar City waters are strongly influenced by current movements, both east monsoon and west monsoon currents. The research was carried out in the sea waters of Makassar City, Station 1 at the estuary of the Jeneberang with outlet characteristics from the mainland, tourism activities, and sea transportation. Station 2 is in the vicinity of Losari coastal waters, at this station, there are three canals for city debris disposal and tourism activities. Station 3 is around the estuary of the Tallo River which is close to the harbour and fish auction.




Figure 3. General condition of sampling locations in Makassar City Waters (a) Jenneberang River Estuary (b) Losari Beach Waters and (c) Tallo Estuary

### Ocean current patterns

Ocean currents greatly influence the movement of marine debris, based on the results of modeling and field tests, the current conditions in the observation period for each station are shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. The pattern of ocean currents at the time of observation, (left = low tide condition) and (right = high tide condition) at each station (a) Jenneberang River Estuary (b) Losari Beach Waters, and (c) Tallo River Estuary

The current modelling results in Figure 4(a). shows that in low tide conditions, the current in the Jenneberang River estuary moves predominantly south-westward away from Makassar mainland and then turns southward with an average speed of 0.04-0.08 m/s, while the maximum speed in the estuary area is 0.28-0.33 m/s. In high tide conditions, the current moves northward and then turn eastward towards the mainland. Furthermore, the current speed increases at high tide in the estuary and coastal areas with a dominant speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s and a maximum speed of 0.48-0.52 m/s at the mouth of the river.

Figure 4(b) shows that the sea currents in the waters of Losari Beach are in low tide, the dominant currents move westward away from Makassar mainland with an average current speed of 0.08-0.16 m/s while the maximum speed is around the coast. Losari in the range of

0.48-1.04 m/s. Whereas in the conditions towards the tide the current moves from open water towards the mainland, with an average speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s.

Figure 4 (c) shows the movement of currents in the Tallo Estuary in low tide conditions, the currents move away from the mainland with an average speed of 0.12-0.13 m/s, in high tide conditions the current moves from east to west. the west direction is then diverted to the north by existing current drag such as reclamation and river estuaries. The average current speed is 0.14-0.16 m/s with a maximum current speed around the reclamation area with a speed range of 0.20-0.26 m/s.

The difference in the current pattern of each station is caused by the dominance of the local current pattern more dominant than the regular current pattern. Based on the observations, the average current speed at each observation station is shown in Figure 5. The average current speed at the Jeneberang River Estuary is 0.34 m/s, Losari Beach is 0.37 m/s and Tallo River Estuary is 0.48 m/s.




Figure 5. Average current velocity from field measurements at each station

The characteristics of ocean currents in Makassar City waters are influenced by wind and tides. At low tide, the current will move from the mainland toward open water (Sugianti and ADS, 2007; Galgani et al., 2015a)

### **Abundance and Distribution of Surface Marine Debris**

The total amount of macro debris found at the three stations was 219 items. The macro debris category was dominated by plastic debris (47.03%), respectively; The Jenneberang River estuary has plastic 35.64%, Losari Beach Waters 56.92%, and the Tallo River Estuary 56.60%, shown in Table 1. As for Meso-debris, based on observations at the three stations, the amount of marine debris found was 191 items, with a dominance of types of wood waste (49.74%). The

largest percentage of wood-type waste was found in the Jenneberang Estuary at 58.59%, then Losari Beach Waters at 41.3%, and Tallo River Estuary at 39.13%, as shown in Table 2.

Tabel 1. Total Amount and Composition of Macro Debris at Three Stations

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	36	20000	35.64	37	20556	56.92	30	16667	56.60	103	47.03
Styrofoam	33	18333	32.67	5	2778	7.69	15	8333	28.30	53	24.20
Cloth	1	556	0.99	3	1667	4.62	2	1111	3.77	6	2.74
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.89	1	0.46
Metal	5	2778	4.95	3	1667	4.62	1	556	1.89	9	4.11
Paper	0	0	0.00	8	4444	12.31	0	0	0.00	8	3.65
Rubber	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.54	0	0	0.00	1	0.46
Wood	26	14444	25.74	8	4444	12.31	4	2222	7.55	38	17.35
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>56111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>36111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29444</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>100</b>

Tabel 2. Total Amount and Composition of Meso-Debris at Three Stations

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	10	5556	10.10	13	7222	28.26	16	8889	34.78	39	20.42
Styrofoam	25	13889	25.25	12	6667	26.09	12	6667	26.09	49	25.65
Cloth	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Metal	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Paper	6	3333	6.06	2	1111	4.35	0	0	0.00	8	4.19
Rubber	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Wood	58	32222	58.59	19	10556	41.30	18	10000	39.13	95	49.74
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100</b>

the total abundance of marine debris for macro and meso-sizes in each plot at each station are shown in Figure 6 and the spatial analysis of macro and meso-debris distribution on the surface sea is shown in Figure 7. The range of macro debris abundance for all stations is 2222-1722 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris abundance range for all stations is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

The highest average abundance of macro debris was found at the Jeneberang River Estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River Estuary. The highest average abundance was found in plot 3 in the Jenneberang Estuary (17222 items/km<sup>2</sup>) and the lowest average abundance was found in plot 4 in the Tallo River estuary (2222 items/km<sup>2</sup>). Likewise, for meso-size marine debris the highest average abundance was also found in the Jenneberang River estuary and the lowest was in the Tallo River estuary where the highest abundance was in plot 1 Jenneberang River (30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>), while the lowest average abundance of meso-debris was found in plots 5 River mouths of 2222 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.




Figure 6. Total abundance of macro and meso-debris in Marine waters of Makassar City.

The research data shows that spatially the abundance of macro debris and meso-debris in the marine waters of Makassar City is highest around the Jenneberang River estuary. The high abundance of marine debris in the Jenneberang River estuary is thought to originate from river runoff (Allopps, 2006) and the accumulation of transportation processes from the river mouth to the waters (Willis et al., 2017), wind and drainage canals (Lee et al., 2017). Other sources of marine debris are thought to be from tourism activities in the surrounding area, one of which is Tanjung Bayang Beach. The results of research by Fadhlin et al., (2016) explain that the number of tourists in Tanjung Bayang is around 5738 people/day, which of course will be a contributor to waste in the surrounding waters if there is no proper marine debris management. In line with that, Cheshire et al. (2009) explained that most debris found in the waters is in the form of household waste. The Jeneberang River basin passes through several cities such as Makassar, Malino, Bili-Bili, and Sungguminasa. The percentage of use of the downstream part of the Jeneberang River basin consists of forests (69%), paddy fields (5%), agriculture (12%), urban areas (14%), and urban land covering 101.78 km located in the estuary area of the Jeneberang River (Fahmi, 2015).





Figure 7. Map of distribution the total abundance of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) at each station

In comparison between the amount of macro and meso-debris, meso-debris has a larger amount, this is due to the size of meso-debris, which is the result of the decomposition of mega and macro debris. The cause of the decomposition of marine debris is the length of time the waste is in the sea and the hydrodynamic action of seawater causes the weathering of

macro debris to meso-debris (Sebille et al., 2015), physical, chemical, and biological processes which include UV radiation, wave action and degradation by microbes (Lee et al., 2017). Based on the results of field observations that the size of meso-debris is dominated by types of wood, when inundated by seawater the types of wood will easily decompose into smaller forms. The half-life of wood species tends to be faster than plastic (Fendall and Sewell, 2009). The data also shows that the highest amount of meso-debris is 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, which is similar to the amount of marine debris found by Isman, (2016) in the coastal area of Makassar City with an abundance of 36,450 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

The results of the spatial analysis using the interpolation method for the distribution of macro and meso-debris are shown in Figures 8a and 8b. The two figures show that the largest abundance of marine debris is found in the southern part of the Jenneberang River Estuary.






Figure 8. Map of distribution of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) abundance in the sea waters of Makassar City.

In Losari Beach waters, there are 3 water canals suspected as a source of marine debris supply, namely; Jongaya, Haji Bau, and Rotterdam canals. The abundance of marine debris found in Losari Beach waters ranges from 3889-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> for macro debris and 4444-12,222 items/km<sup>2</sup> for meso-debris, with the greatest abundance located around Lae-lae Island. This shows the small supply of waste from the disposal canals and the possibility that the high marine debris at the station originates from accumulation due to the movement of ocean currents. Coastal reclamation around station 2 causes a shift in current patterns which causes the accumulation of debris in the southern part of the reclamation area (Station S2-2). This condition is corroborated by the opinion of Jaya, (2012) that reclamation greatly affects the physical and chemical conditions of seawater. Apart from the influence of current patterns, it is suspected that another reason for the high abundance of marine debris around Lae-lae Island (station S2-4) is due to tourism activities. This is in line with the results of Syaktia et al., (2017) using the trawling method, that a large amount of accumulated waste in the intertidal zone along the Cilacap coast comes from beach tourism and runoff from the Donan and Serayu Rivers.

At the station in the Tallo River estuary, an abundance of macro debris was found in the range of 2222-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> and an abundance of meso-debris in the range of 2222-11111 items/km<sup>2</sup>. Figure 8 shows that the farther from the mouth of the river the abundance of marine debris is higher. The source of marine debris around the Tallo River estuary is thought to originate from the Tallo River, the Paotere Fish Auction Site, Industry, and settlements. This is corroborated by Setiawan (2013) that the mouth of the Tallo River is a place for waste disposal originating from the Makassar Industrial Area, and transportation activities. In addition, household waste also greatly influences the high abundance of debris, especially types of plastic in water (Jambeck et al., 2015).

If this is related to the movement of ocean currents in Figures 4 and 5, which tend to move southward and experience a slowdown when in the Jenneberang River Estuary, this causes a high abundance of macro and meso-debris in that location. Tables 1 and 2 also show that the largest percentage of the waste is found in the Jenneberang River Estuary, where for the macro size it is dominated by plastic debris, and for the meso-size it is dominated by wood debris. The results of other studies show that generally trash is rarely found in waters with strong currents and high-water masses, trash will sink when it loses its buoyancy (Galgani et al., 2015b).

## **CONCLUSION**

The highest abundance of macro debris and meso-debris was found around the Jenneberang River estuary, with the dominance of plastic types for macro debris and wood types for meso-debris. The large supply of waste from the river and the slowing of the flow were the causes of its accumulation in the Jenneberang River estuary.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This article is a part of research funded by the National Research Agency (BRIN) through the Hasanuddin University Research and Service Institute. Multi-year Basic Research Scheme based on Research Contract No. 1516 / UN4.22 / PT.01.03 / 2020 the Year 2020.

## **DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

- Agamuthu, P., Mehran, S. B., Norkhairah, A., Norkhairiyah, A. 2019. ***Marine debris: A review of impacts and global initiatives***. Waste management & research : the journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA, 37(10), 987–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X19845041>
- Allsopp M., Walters A., Santillo D., Johnston P. (2006) ***Plastic Debris in the World's Oceans***. Greenpeace Netherlands.
- Asmal M, Shinta W, Sulaiman G, Wasir S, Lanuru M. 2021. ***Identification of floating marine debris based on sea surface current pattern in Barrangcaddi Island, Makassar City***. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. p 295-304
- Barboza L G A, Cózar A, Gimenez B C G, Barros T L, Kershaw P J, Guilhermino L. 2019 ***Macroplastics Pollution in the Marine Environment, in: World Seas***. An Environmental Evaluation. Elsevier, pp. 305–328
- Chassignet EP, Xu X and Zavala-Romero O, 2021. ***Tracking Marine Litter With a Global Ocean Model: Where Does It Go? Where Does It Come From?***. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:667591. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.66759
- Cheshire A., and Adler E., 2009. UNEP/IOC ***Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of Marine Litter. United Nations Environment Program. UNEP. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, IOC***.
- Fadlin F., Marfai M.A., Kurniawan A. 2016. ***Potensi Wisata dan Preferensi Visual Langskap Wisatawan Untuk Pengembangan Pariwisata Pesisir (Kasus: Pantai Angin Mamiri dan Tanjung Bayang Kota Makassar)***. Majalah Geografi Indonesia 30(1) 19-28.
- Fahmi M.C. 2015. ***Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai Jeneberang Kota Makassar Sulawesi Selatan***. Geografi Regional Indonesia. DOI: 0606071645
- Faizal, A., Werorilangi, S., Samad, W., Lanuru, M., Dalimunte, W. S., Yahya, A. 2021. ***Abundance and spatial distribution of marine debris on the beach of Takalar Regency, South Sulawesi***. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 763(1), 012060. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/763/1/012060
- Fendall L.S., Sewell M.A. 2009. ***Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face: Microplastics in facial cleansers***. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58(8):1225-1228.
- Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015a. ***Chapter 2 Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of Marine Litter***. Marine Anthropogenic Litter 1:29. DOI: DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3\_2.
- Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015b. ***Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of Marine Litter. Marine Anthropogenic Litter*** 2:29. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3\_2.
- GESAMP, 2019. ***Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter and microplastics in the ocean*** (Kershaw P J, Turra A and Galgani F editors). (IMO/FAO/UNESCOIOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP/ISA Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection) Rep. Stud. GESAMP, No. 99.
- Henry, B., Laitala, K., and Grimstad, I. 2019. Science of the Total Environment Micro fibres from apparel and home textiles : Prospects for including microplastics in environmental sustainability assessment. Science of the Total Environment. 652 483–494
- Gregory M. R. 2009. ***Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings--entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien***

- invasions*. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences, 364(1526), 2013–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>
- Hays, G. C. 2017. ***Ocean currents and marine life***. Current Biology, 27(11), R470-R473. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.044>
- Isman. F.M., 2016. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut di Kawasan Wisata Pantai Kota Makassar***. Skripsi. Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan. Unhas. Makassar
- Jambeck J.R., Geyer R., Wilcox C., Siegler T.R., Perryman M., Anthony Andrady, Narayan R., Law K.L. 2015. ***Plastic waste Inputs from land Into The Ocean***. American Association For The Advancement Of Science. 437:768-771. DOI: DOI: 10.1126/science.1260352
- Jaya A.M. 2012. ***Kajian Kondisi Lingkungan Dan Perubahan Sosial Ekonomi Reklamasi Pantai Losari Dan Tanjung***. Tesis. Program Pasca Satajana Universitas Hasanuddin.
- Lee, J., Lee, J., Hong, S., Hong, S. H., Shim, W. J., Eo, S. 2017. ***Characteristics of meso-sized plastic marine debris on 20 beaches in Korea***. Marine pollution bulletin, 123(1-2), 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.020>
- Lippiatt S., Opfer S., Arthur C. 2013. ***Marine Debris Monitoring and Assessment Recommendations for Monitoring Debris Trends in the Marine Environment***, in: N. M. D. P. N. O. a. A. Administration (Ed.), NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-46 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program, 2016).
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program (NOAA). 2016. ***Report on Marine Debris Impacts on Coastal and Benthic Habitats***. Silver Spring, MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program.
- Opfer S., Arthur C., Lippiatt S. 2012. ***NOAA Marine Debris Shoreline Survey Field Guide***, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and I.M. Systems Group, Inc., USA.
- Rafsanjani, Shinta. W., Wasir .S., Amran. S., Faizal. A., 2021. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut Terapung (Floating Marine Debris) Berdasarkan Pola Musim di Perairan Pulau Barranglompo, Kota Makassar***. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. P 285-294
- Richards Z. T., Beger M., 2011. ***A quantification of the standing stock of macro-debris in Majuro lagoon and its effect on hard coral communities***. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 62(8), 1693-1701
- Samurović K, 2021. ***How Ocean Currents Move Pollution Around the World***. Geographyrealm ; <https://www.geographyrealm.com/author/katarina-samurovic/>
- Seville E.v., Wilco C., Lebreton L., Maximenko N., Hardesty B.D., Franeker J.v., Eriksen M., Siegel D., Galgani F., Lavender K. 2015. ***A global inventory of small floating plastic debris***. Environ Res. Lett 10. DOI: 10.1008/1748-9326/10/12/124006.
- Setiawan H. (2013) Akumulasi dan Distribusi Logam Berat pada Vegetasi Mangrove di Perairan Pesisir Sulawesi Selatan. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan Vol VII No.1:12.
- Syaktia A.D., Bouhroumc R., Hidayatib N.V., Koenawand C.J., Boulkamhc A., Sulistyob I., Lebarilliere S., Syafsir Akhlusf P.D., Wong-Wah-Chunge P. 2017. ***Beach macro-litter monitoring and floating microplastic in a coastal area of Indonesia***. Marine Pollution Bulletin 112:10. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.046
- Sugianto D.N., ADS A. 2007. ***Studi Pola Sirkulasi Arus Laut di Perairan Pantai Provinsi Sumatera Barat***. Program Studi Oseanografi, Jurusan Ilmu Kelautan FPIK UNDP Semarang 12 (2):79-92.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003. ***Users Guide To RMA2 WES Version 4.5. US Army,*** Engineer Research and Development Center Waterways Experiment Station Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory pp 296
- van Cauwenberghe, L., Janssen, C. R. 2014. ***Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human consumption.*** Environmental Pollution, 193, 65-70.
- van Sebille, E., Aliani, S., Law, K. L., Maximenko, N., Alsina, J. M., Bagaev, A., . . . Wichmann, D. 2020. ***The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris.*** Environmental Research Letters, 15(2), 023003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d)
- Willis, K., Denise Hardesty, B., Kriwoken, L., Wilcox, C. 2017. ***Differentiating littering, urban runoff and marine transport as sources of marine debris in coastal and estuarine environments.*** Scientific reports, 7, 44479. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44479>

## **Review Artikel**

## Notifications



### [jipsp] Editor Decision

2023-04-22 05:33 PM

Ahmad Faizal: We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal IPTEKS Pemanfaatan Sumberdaya Perikanan, "The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters". Our decision is: Revisions

Required \_\_\_\_\_ IPTEKS

<http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/ipteks>

# The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters

## Abstract

A survey of the abundance and distribution of macro debris (>2.5 - <100 cm) and meso-debris (>0.5 - <2.5 cm) has been carried out in Makassar City waters. This research was carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris following ocean current patterns. The macro debris abundance range is 2222-17222 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris range is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, with the dominance of 47,03% plastic debris for macro sizes and 49,74% wood debris for meso-sizes. The highest abundance was found at the Jenneberang estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River estuary. The pattern and speed of ocean currents affect the distribution of marine debris, the high abundance of marine debris in the Jenneberang River estuary is characterized by a slowdown in current velocity, and, the low abundance of marine debris in the Tallo River estuary is characterized by high current velocity.

Keywords: Marine debris, macro debris, meso-debris, floating, pollution, Makassar

## INTRODUCTION

Ocean currents play a significant role in the circulation of water areas. They are patterns of water movement that are driven by winds, tides, and differences in water density. These currents transport heat, marine organisms, nutrients, and dissolved gases such as carbon dioxide and oxygen (Hay, 2017). Ocean currents play an important role in the distribution and accumulation of marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021; Faizal et al., 2021; NOAA, 2016). Ocean currents control the distribution and accumulation of floating marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021), carrying it into concentrations known as the Ocean Garbage Patch (Samurovic, 2021). The particles from the model also migrate to the garbage patch due to ocean currents (NOAA, 2016), and its distribution in the ocean is poorly mapped due to the influence of ocean currents on its movement. Once beneath high-pressure systems, the floating debris appears to meander aimlessly, further demonstrating how ocean currents affect its accumulation (van Sebille et al., 2020).

Marine debris is any persistent, manufactured, or processed solid material discarded, disposed of, or abandoned in the marine and coastal environment (Richards & Beger, 2011; Jambeck et al., 2015; NOAA, 2016; Agumuthu et al., 2019). Marine debris is the result of waste from anthropogenic activities, which then enters the marine environment through marine hydrodynamic activities (NOAA, 2016) or rivers, disposal canals, (Offer et al., 2012),

Commented [ID1]: Please pay attention for the typo.

Commented [ID2]: It should be "Jeneberang"

Commented [ID3]: It's too long sentence. How 'bout in the sea waters ?

39 waste from ships, tourism activities (Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen, 2014) and the movement  
40 of waste carried by wind and ocean currents (GESAMP, 2019).

41 Marine debris accumulation in marine waters may have a bad impact to marine  
42 ecosystems, silting of river estuaries, decreasing the aesthetic value of tourism areas, and  
43 ultimately reducing the quality of life of the community (Gregory, 2019). Furthermore,  
44 Jambeck et al. (2015) reported that the number of marine debris in 2015 in world waters was  
45 around 36.5 million metric tons (MMT) and Indonesia contributed 3.22 MMT, which ranks  
46 second after China from 192 coastal countries. It is even estimated that if there is no serious  
47 handling of marine debris, in 2025 there will be an increase in marine debris of around  
48 52.21% or around 69.9 MMT (Jambeck. et al., 2015; Barboza et al., 2019).

49 The city of Makassar, which is located in a coastal area, is vulnerable to marine debris  
50 threats. Research results show that there is an accumulation of marine debris with quite a  
51 high abundance (Asmal et al., 2021; Rafsanjani et al., 2021). Based on the threat of marine  
52 debris to coastal ecosystems including in the Makassar City Waters area, this research was  
53 carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris about ocean current patterns.

**Commented [ID4]:** Too long for 1 sentence. Please, clearly mention the purpose of the research.

54

## 55 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 56 **Research sites**

57 This research was conducted in October 2020, which is located in the sea waters of  
58 Makassar City. Sample analysis was carried out at the Marine Ecotoxicology Laboratory,  
59 Faculty of Marine Science and Fisheries, Hasanuddin University. Determination of data  
60 collection points using the purposive sampling method, taking into account the observed  
61 parameters and the representativeness of the area coverage. The distribution of sampling  
62 points is as shown in Figure 1, respectively; Station 1 at the Jeneberang River Estuary  
63 (119°22'48.455"E and 5°11'31.361" S), Station 2 at Losari Beach (119°23'50.611" E and  
64 5°7'57.954" S), and station 3 at the Tallo River Estuary (119°26'37.639" E and 5°5'36.493" S).





Figure 1. Location of research data collection

65  
66  
67

## 68 Methodology

69 The size of marine debris in the waters is based on the criteria of Lippiat et al. (2013)  
70 and is divided into several classifications; mega (>100 cm), macro (>2.5 - <100 cm), meso  
71 (>0.5 - <2.5 cm), micro (>0.033 - <0.5 cm) and nano (<1 μm), in this study the categories  
72 measured are macro and meso. The transect technique used in this study was modified from  
73 (Lippiatt et al. 2013). Each station is made of a transect with a size of 2000 x 2000 m, where  
74 each station has five substations with a size of 400 m x 400 m, then each substation has four  
75 tracks with a length of 300 m each. Sampling method using Neuston Net (neuston net  
76 specifications; mesh size 0.5 mm, net size 1.5 x 0.50 m). The nets attached to the boats are  
77 towed at each substation with a maximum speed of 5 knots.



78  
79 Figure 2. Sampling scheme at each station  
80

81 The waste collected from each transect is separated based on the size of the waste (macro  
82 and meso), Then calculated the amount of waste and the weight of marine debris for each  
83 category. The current measurement uses an Electric Current Meter (ECM) at the highest tide  
84 conditions until near low tide.

85 **Data analysis**

- The density of beach waste based on the amount and weight is calculated by equation 1 (Lippiatt et al. 2013)

86  
87  
88  $Abundance (K) = \frac{n}{l \times p} \dots\dots\dots(1)$

89 Where n = amount of marine debris (item); p = transect length (km) and l = net  
90 width (km)

- The spatial distribution of marine debris was mapped based on the abundance at each sub-station.
- Analysis of the distribution of current direction and speed data for seasonal periods, using the RMA-2 module. (equations 2, 3, and 4) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003).

96  
97 The mass equation as below:

**Commented [ID5]:** Please using a same space.

98  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + h \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$

99 Momentum equation:

100 In the x-direction:

101  $h \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{p} \left( E_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{gum^2}{(1.486h^{1/6})^2}$   
102  $+ (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \cos \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)$

$$h \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{yx} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{g \sin^2 \phi}{(1.486h^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \sin \psi - 2h\omega v \sin \phi = 0 \quad \dots (4)$$

where:  $h$ = water depth [m],  $t$  = time [sec],  $u, v$  = velocity component in X and Y axis [vector],  $\rho$  = fluid density [kg/m<sup>3</sup>],  $g$ = gravity acceleration [m<sup>2</sup>/sec.<sup>2</sup>],  $E$  = viscosity coefficient of turbulence ( $E_{xx}$ , of in the normal towards X axis,  $E_{yy}$ , in the normal towards Y axis.  $E_{xy}$  and  $E_{yx}$ , of coincides in X and Y direction, respectively),  $a$ = bottom water elevation,  $n$ = Manning coefficient,  $\zeta$  = wind shear coefficient,  $V_a$ = wind speed [m/sec],  $\psi$  = wind direction [deg.],  $\omega$  = angular velocity [rad/sec] and  $\phi$  = latitude [deg.]

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### General Condition

Makassar city is located in the south of Sulawesi Island, administratively included in South Sulawesi Province. Geographically, it is directly opposite the Makassar Strait. Makassar City waters are strongly influenced by current movements, both east monsoon and west monsoon currents. The research was carried out in the sea waters of Makassar City, Station 1 at the estuary of the Jeneberang with outlet characteristics from the mainland, tourism activities, and sea transportation. Station 2 is in the vicinity of Losari coastal waters, at this station, there are three canals for city debris disposal and tourism activities. Station 3 is around the estuary of the Tallo River which is close to the harbour and fish auction.





Figure 3. General condition of sampling locations in Makassar City Waters (a) Jenneberang River Estuary (b) Losari Beach Waters and (c) Tallo Estuary

### Ocean current patterns

Ocean currents greatly influence the movement of marine debris, based on the results of modelling and field tests, the current conditions in the observation period for each station are shown in Figure 4.



130 Figure 4. The pattern of ocean currents at the time of observation, (left = low tide condition)  
 131 and (right = high tide condition) at each station (a) Jenneberang River Estuary (b) Losari  
 132 Beach Waters, and (c) Tallo River Estuary

Commented [ID6]: The legend is unclear. Please make it clearly.


133  
 134 The current modelling results are in Figure 4(a). shows that in low tide conditions, the  
 135 current in the Jenneberang River estuary moves predominantly south-westward away from  
 136 Makassar mainland and then turns southward with an average speed of 0.04-0.08 m/s, while  
 137 the maximum speed in the estuary area is 0.28-0.33 m/s. In high tide conditions, the current  
 138 moves northward and then turn eastward towards the mainland. Furthermore, the current  
 139 speed increases at high tide in the estuary and coastal areas with a dominant speed of 0.8-  
 140 0.12 m/s and a maximum speed of 0.48-0.52 m/s at the mouth of the river.

141 Figure 4(b) shows that the sea currents in the waters of Losari Beach are in low tide, the  
 142 dominant currents move westward away from Makassar mainland with an average current  
 143 speed of 0.08-0.16 m/s while the maximum speed is around the coast. Losari in the range of

144 0.48-1.04 m/s. Whereas in the conditions towards the tide the current moves from open  
145 water towards the mainland, with an average speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s.

146 Figure 4 (c) shows the movement of currents in the Tallo Estuary in low tide conditions,  
147 the currents move away from the mainland with an average speed of 0.12-0.13 m/s, in high  
148 tide conditions the current moves from east to west. the west direction is then diverted to  
149 the north by existing current drag such as reclamation and river estuaries. The average  
150 current speed is 0.14-0.16 m/s with a maximum current speed around the reclamation area  
151 with a speed range of 0.20-0.26 m/s.

152 The difference in the current pattern of each station is caused by the dominance of the  
153 local current pattern more dominant than the regular current pattern. Based on the  
154 observations, the average current speed at each observation station is shown in Figure 5. The  
155 average current speed at the Jeneberang River Estuary is 0.34 m/s, Losari Beach is 0.37 m/s  
156 and Tallo River Estuary is 0.48 m/s.



157  
158 Figure 5. Average current velocity from field measurements at each station

159 The characteristics of ocean currents in Makassar City waters are influenced by wind  
160 and tides. At low tide, the current will move from the mainland toward open water (Sugianti  
161 and ADS, 2007; Galgani et al., 2015a)

### 162 **Abundance and Distribution of Surface Marine Debris**

163 The total amount of macro debris found at the three stations was 219 items. The  
164 macro debris category was dominated by plastic debris (47.03%), respectively; The  
165 Jenneberang River estuary has plastic 35.64%, Losari Beach Waters 56.92%, and the Tallo  
166 River Estuary 56.60%, shown in Table 1. As for Meso-debris, based on observations at the  
167 three stations, the amount of marine debris found was 191 items, with a dominance of types  
168 of wood waste (49.74%). The largest percentage of wood-type waste was found in the

169 Jenneberang Estuary at 58.59%, then Losari Beach Waters at 41.3%, and Tallo River Estuary at  
 170 39.13%, as shown in Table 2.

171 **Table 1. Total Amount and Composition of Macro Debris at Three Stations**

Type of Debris	Jenneberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	36	20000	35.64	37	20556	56.92	30	16667	56.60	103	47.03
Styrofoam	33	18333	32.67	5	2778	7.69	15	8333	28.30	53	24.20
Cloth	1	556	0.99	3	1667	4.62	2	1111	3.77	6	2.74
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.89	1	0.46
Metal	5	2778	4.95	3	1667	4.62	1	556	1.89	9	4.11
Paper	0	0	0.00	8	4444	12.31	0	0	0.00	8	3.65
Rubber	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.54	0	0	0.00	1	0.46
Wood	26	14444	25.74	8	4444	12.31	4	2222	7.55	38	17.35
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>56111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>36111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29444</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>100</b>

172 **Table 2. Total Amount and Composition of Meso-Debris at Three Stations**

Type of Debris	Jenneberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	10	5556	10.10	13	7222	28.26	16	8889	34.78	39	20.42
Styrofoam	25	13889	25.25	12	6667	26.09	12	6667	26.09	49	25.65
Cloth	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Metal	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Paper	6	3333	6.06	2	1111	4.35	0	0	0.00	8	4.19
Rubber	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Wood	58	32222	58.59	19	10556	41.30	18	10000	39.13	95	49.74
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100</b>

174  
 175 The total abundance of marine debris for macro and meso-sizes in each plot at each  
 176 station are shown in Figure 6 and the spatial analysis of macro and meso-debris distribution  
 177 on the surface sea is shown in Figure 7. The range of macro debris abundance for all  
 178 stations is 2222-17222 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris abundance range for all stations is  
 179 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

180 The highest average abundance of macro debris was found at the Jenneberang River  
 181 Estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River Estuary. The highest average abundance was found  
 182 in plot 3 in the Jenneberang Estuary (17222 items/km<sup>2</sup>) and the lowest average abundance  
 183 was found in plot 4 in the Tallo River estuary (2222 items/km<sup>2</sup>). Likewise, for meso-size  
 184 marine debris the highest average abundance was also found in the Jenneberang River  
 185 estuary and the lowest was in the Tallo River estuary where the highest abundance was in  
 186 plot 1 Jenneberang River (30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>), while the lowest average abundance of meso-  
 187 debris was found in plots 5 River mouths of 2222 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.




Figure 6. Total abundance of macro and meso-debris in Marine waters of Makassar City.

188  
189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202


203

204

The research data shows that spatially the abundance of macro debris and meso-

debris in the marine waters of Makassar City is highest around the Jenneberang River estuary. The high abundance of marine debris in the Jenneberang River estuary is thought to originate from river runoff (Allopps, 2006) and the accumulation of transportation processes from the river mouth to the waters (Willis et al., 2017), wind and drainage canals (Lee et al., 2017 ). Other sources of marine debris are thought to be from tourism activities in the surrounding area, one of which is Tanjung Bayang Beach. The results of research by Fadhlin et al., (2016) explain that the number of tourists in Tanjung Bayang is around 5738 people/day, which of course will be a contributor to waste in the surrounding waters if there is no proper marine debris management. In line with that, Cheshire et al. (2009) explained that most debris found in the waters is in the form of household waste. The Jeneberang River basin passes through several cities such as Makassar, Malino, Bili-Bili, and Sungguminasa. The percentage of use of the downstream part of the Jeneberang River basin consists of forests (69%), paddy fields (5%), agriculture (12%), urban areas (14%), and urban land covering 101.78 km located in the estuary area of the Jeneberang River (Fahmi, 2015).

**Commented [ID7]:** This result should be connected with ocean patterns.




205 Figure 7. Map of distribution the total abundance of macro debris (above) and meso-debris  
 206 (below) at each station  
 207

208 In comparison between the amount of macro and meso-debris, meso-debris has a  
 209 larger amount, this is due to the size of meso-debris, which is the result of the  
 210 decomposition of mega and macro debris. The cause of the decomposition of marine debris  
 211 is the length of time the waste is in the sea and the hydrodynamic action of seawater causes

212 the weathering of macro debris to meso-debris (Sebille et al., 2015), physical, chemical, and  
213 biological processes which include UV radiation, wave action and degradation by microbes  
214 (Lee et al., 2017). Based on the results of field observations that the size of meso-debris is  
215 dominated by types of wood, when inundated by seawater the types of wood will easily  
216 decompose into smaller forms. The half-life of wood species tends to be faster than plastic  
217 (Fendall and Sewell, 2009). The data also shows that the highest amount of meso-debris is  
218 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, which is similar to the amount of marine debris found by Isman, (2016) in  
219 the coastal area of Makassar City with an abundance of 36,450 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

220 The results of the spatial analysis using the interpolation method for the distribution of  
221 macro and meso-debris are shown in Figures 8a and 8b. The two figures show that the  
222 largest abundance of marine debris is found in the southern part of the Jenneberang River  
223 Estuary.

224






Figure 8. Map of distribution of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) abundance in the sea waters of Makassar City.

225  
 226  
 227

228 In Losari Beach waters, there are 3 water canals suspected as a source of marine  
 229 debris supply, namely; Jongaya, Haji Bau, and Rotterdam canals. The abundance of marine  
 230 debris found in Losari Beach waters ranges from 3889-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> for macro debris and  
 231 4444-12,222 items/km<sup>2</sup> for meso-debris, with the greatest abundance located around Lae-  
 232 lae Island. This shows the small supply of waste from the disposal canals and the possibility  
 233 that the high marine debris at the station originates from accumulation due to the  
 234 movement of ocean currents. Coastal reclamation around station 2 causes a shift in current  
 235 patterns which causes the accumulation of debris in the southern part of the reclamation  
 236 area (Station S2-2). This condition is corroborated by the opinion of Jaya, (2012) that  
 237 reclamation greatly affects the physical and chemical conditions of seawater. Apart from the  
 238 influence of current patterns, it is suspected that another reason for the high abundance of  
 239 marine debris around Lae-lae Island (station S2-4) is due to tourism activities. This is in line  
 240 with the results of Syaktia et al., (2017) using the trawling method, that a large amount of  
 241 accumulated waste in the intertidal zone along the Cilacap coast comes from beach tourism  
 242 and runoff from the Donan and Serayu Rivers.

243 At the station in the Tallo River estuary, an abundance of macro debris was found in  
244 the range of 2222-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> and an abundance of meso-debris in the range of 2222-  
245 11111 items/km<sup>2</sup>. Figure 8 shows that the farther from the mouth of the river the abundance  
246 of marine debris is higher. The source of marine debris around the Tallo River estuary is  
247 thought to originate from the Tallo River, the Paotere Fish Auction Site, Industry, and  
248 settlements. This is corroborated by Setiawan (2013) that the mouth of the Tallo River is a  
249 place for waste disposal originating from the Makassar Industrial Area, and transportation  
250 activities. In addition, household waste also greatly influences the high abundance of debris,  
251 especially types of plastic in water (Jambeck et al., 2015).

252 If this is related to the movement of ocean currents in Figures 4 and 5, which tend to  
253 move southward and experience a slowdown when in the Jenneberang River Estuary, this  
254 causes a high abundance of macro and meso-debris in that location. Tables 1 and 2 also  
255 show that the largest percentage of the waste is found in the Jenneberang River Estuary,  
256 where for the macro size it is dominated by plastic debris, and for the meso-size it is  
257 dominated by wood debris. The results of other studies show that generally trash is rarely  
258 found in waters with strong currents and high-water masses, trash will sink when it loses its  
259 buoyancy (Galgani et al., 2015b).

## 260 CONCLUSION

261 The highest abundance of macro debris and meso-debris was found around the  
262 Jenneberang River estuary, with the dominance of plastic types for macro debris and wood  
263 types for meso-debris. The large supply of waste from the river and the slowing of the flow  
264 were the causes of its accumulation in the Jenneberang River estuary.

**Commented [ID8]:** Please make it clear conclusion. It should be describe about the influence of ocean pattern on surface marine debris.

## 266 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

267 This article is a part of research funded by the National Research Agency (BRIN) through the  
268 Hasanuddin University Research and Service Institute. Multi-year Basic Research Scheme  
269 based on Research Contract No. 1516 / UN4.22 / PT.01.03 / 2020 the Year 2020.

270

271

272

## 273 DAFTAR PUSTAKA

274 Agamuthu, P., Mehran, S. B., Norkhairah, A., Norkhairiyah, A. 2019. **Marine debris: A**  
275 **review of impacts and global initiatives.** Waste management & research : the  
276 journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association,  
277 ISWA, 37(10), 987–1002. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X19845041>  
278 Allsopp M., Walters A., Santillo D., Johnston P. (2006) **Plastic Debris in the World's**  
279 **Oceans.** Greenpeace Netherlands.  
280 Asmal M, Shinta W, Sulaiman G, Wasir S, Lanuru M. 2021. **Identification of floating**  
281 **marine debris based on sea surface current pattern in Barrangcaddi Island,**  
282 **Makassar City.** Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas  
283 Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. p 295-304  
284 Barboza L G A, Cózar A, Gimenez B C G, Barros T L, Kershaw P J, Guilhermino L. 2019  
285 **Macroplastics Pollution in the Marine Environment, in: World Seas.** An  
286 Environmental Evaluation. Elsevier, pp. 305–328  
287 Chassignet EP, Xu X and Zavala-Romero O, 2021. **Tracking Marine Litter With a Global**  
288 **Ocean Model: Where Does It Go? Where Does It Come From?.** Front. Mar. Sci.  
289 8:667591. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.66759  
290 Cheshire A., and Adler E., 2009. UNEP/IOC **Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of**  
291 **Marine Litter. United Nations Environment Program. UNEP. Intergovernmental**  
292 **Oceanographic Commission, IOC.**  
293 Fadlin F., Marfai M.A., Kurniawan A. 2016. **Potensi Wisata dan Preferensi Visual Langskap**  
294 **Wisatawan Untuk Pengembangan Pariwisata Pesisir (Kasus: Pantai Angin**  
295 **Mamiri dan Tanjung Bayang Kota Makassar).** Majalah Geografi Indonesia 30(1) 19-  
296 28.  
297 Fahmi M.C. 2015. **Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai Jeneberang Kota Mkassar**  
298 **Sulawesi Selatan.** Geografi Regional Indonesia. DOI: 0606071645  
299 Faizal, A., Werorilangi, S., Samad, W., Lanuru, M., Dalimunte, W. S., Yahya, A. 2021.  
300 **Abundance and spatial distribution of marine debris on the beach of Takalar**  
301 **Regency, South Sulawesi.** IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science,  
302 763(1), 012060. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/763/1/012060  
303 Fendall L.S., Sewell M.A. 2009. **Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face:**  
304 **Microplastics in facial cleansers.** Marine Pollution Bulletin 58(8):1225-1228.  
305 Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015a. **Chapter 2 Global Distribution, Composition and**  
306 **Abundance of Marine Litter.** Marine Anthropogenic Litter 1:29. DOI: DOI  
307 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-3\_2.  
308 Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015b. **Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance**  
309 **of Marine Litter. Marine Anthropogenic Litter** 2:29. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-  
310 16510-3\_2.  
311 GESAMP, 2019. **Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter and**  
312 **microplastics in the ocean** (Kershaw P J, Turra A amd Galgani F editors).  
313 (IMO/FAO/UNESCOIOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP/ISA Joint Group of  
314 Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection) Rep. Stud.  
315 GESAMP, No. 99. Henry, B., Laitala, K., and Grimstad, I. 2019. Science of the Total  
316 Environment Micro fi bres from apparel and home textiles : Prospects for including  
317 microplastics in environmental sustainability assessment. Science of the Total  
318 Environment. 652 483–494  
319 Gregory M. R. 2009. **Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings--**  
320 **entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien**

321 *invasions*. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,  
322 Biological sciences, 364(1526), 2013–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>

323 Hays, G. C. 2017. ***Ocean currents and marine life***. Current Biology, 27(11), R470-R473.  
324 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.044>

325 Isman. F.M., 2016. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut di Kawasan Wisata Pantai Kota Makassar***.  
326 Skripsi. Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan. Unhas. Makassar

327 Jambeck J.R., Geyer R., Wilcox C., Siegler T.R., Perryman M., Anthony Andrady, Narayan R.,  
328 Law K.L. 2015. ***Plastic waste Inputs from land Into The Ocean***. American  
329 Association For The Advancement Of Science. 437:768-771. DOI: DOI:  
330 10.1126/science.1260352

331 Jaya A.M. 2012. ***Kajian Kondisi Lingkungan Dan Perubahan Sosial Ekonomi Reklamasi***  
332 ***Pantai Losari Dan Tanjung***. Tesis. Program Pasca Satajana Universitas Hasanuddin.

333 Lee, J., Lee, J., Hong, S., Hong, S. H., Shim, W. J., Eo, S. 2017. ***Characteristics of meso-sized***  
334 ***plastic marine debris on 20 beaches in Korea***. Marine pollution bulletin, 123(1-2),  
335 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.020>

336 Lippiatt S., Opfer S., Arthur C. 2013. ***Marine Debris Monitoring and Assessment***  
337 ***Recommendations for Monitoring Debris Trends in the Marine Environment***, in:  
338 N. M. D. P. N. O. a. A. Administration (Ed.), NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-  
339 OR&R-46National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program,  
340 2016).

341 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program (NOAA). 2016.  
342 ***Report on Marine Debris Impacts on Coastal and Benthic Habitats***. Silver Spring,  
343 MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program.

344 Opfer S., Arthur C., Lippiatt S. 2012. ***NOAA Marine Debris Shoreline Survey Field Guide***,  
345 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and I.M. Systems Group, Inc., USA.

346 Rafsanjani, Shinta. W., Wasir .S., Amran. S., Faizal. A., 2021. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut***  
347 ***Terapung (Floating Marine Debris) Berdasarkan Pola Musim di Perairan Pulau***  
348 ***Barranglompo, Kota Makassar***. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan  
349 Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. P 285-294

350 Richards Z. T., Beger M., 2011. ***A quantification of the standing stock of macro-debris***  
351 ***in Majuro lagoon and its effect on hard coral communities***. Marine Pollution  
352 Bulletin, 62(8), 1693-1701

353 Samurović K, 2021. ***How Ocean Currents Move Pollution Around the World***.  
354 Geographyrealm ; <https://www.geographyrealm.com/author/katarina-samurovic/>

355 Seville E.v., Wilco C., Lebreton L., Maximenko N., Hardesty B.D., Franeker J.v., Eriksen M.,  
356 Siegel D., Galgani F., Lavender K. 2015. ***A global inventory of small floating plastic***  
357 ***debris***. Environ Res. Lett 10. DOI: 10.1008/1748-9326/10/12/124006.

358 Setiawan H. (2013) Akumulasi dan Distribusi Logam Berat pada Vegetasi Mangrove di  
359 Perairan Pesisir Sulawesi Selatan. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan Vol VII No.1:12.

360 Syaktia A.D., Bouhroumc R., Hidayatib N.V., Koenawand C.J., Boulkamhc A., Sulistyob I.,  
361 Lebarilliere S., Syafsir Akhlusf P.D., Wong-Wah-Chunge P. 2017. ***Beach macro-litter***  
362 ***monitoring and floating microplastic in a coastal area of Indonesia***. Marine  
363 Pollution Bulletin 112:10. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.046

364 Sugianto D.N., ADS A. 2007. ***Studi Pola Sirkulasi Arus Laut di Perairan Pantai Provinsi***  
365 ***Sumatera Barat***. Program Studi Oseanografi, Jurusan Ilmu Kelautan FPIK UNDIP  
366 Semarang 12 (2):79-92.

367 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003. ***Users Guide To RMA2 WES Version 4.5. US Army,***  
368 ***Engineer Research and Development Center Waterways Experiment Station Coastal***  
369 ***and Hydraulics Laboratory pp 296***  
370 van Cauwenberghe, L., Janssen, C. R. 2014. ***Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human***  
371 ***consumption.*** Environmental Pollution, 193, 65-70.  
372 van Sebille, E., Aliani, S., Law, K. L., Maximenko, N., Alsina, J. M., Bagaev, A., . . . Wichmann, D.  
373 2020. ***The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris.***  
374 Environmental Research Letters, 15(2), 023003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d)  
375 Willis, K., Denise Hardesty, B., Kriwoken, L., Wilcox, C. 2017. ***Differentiating littering, urban***  
376 ***runoff and marine transport as sources of marine debris in coastal and estuarine***  
377 ***environments.*** Scientific reports, 7, 44479. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44479>  
378  
379  
380

**Perbaikan Atas  
Review Artikel**

atan Sumberdaya Perikanan - Task

Ra

Ra

Ra

Ne


## article revision ✕

### Participants [Edit](#)

Rachmat Hidayat (rachmat\_hidayat)

AFaizal (faizal)

### Messages

Note	From
<p>DearChief editor of tIPTEKS Journal Thank you for responding and correcting our article entitled: The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution in Makassar City Waters. Together with this OJS, we will send improvements to corrections from reviewers. Best regardsAhmad Faizalr.</p> <p> <a href="#">faizal, B-26391-Article Text-85022-1-4-20230410_rev_1.docx</a></p>	<p>faizal2023-04-26 12:15 AM</p>

Add Message

# The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters

## Abstract

A survey of the abundance and distribution of macro debris (>2.5 - <100 cm) and meso-debris (>0.5 - <2.5 cm) has been carried out in Makassar City waters. This research was carried out to map the distribution of surface marine debris following ocean current patterns. The macro debris abundance range is 2222-17222 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris range is 2222-30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, with the dominance of 47,03% plastic debris for macro sizes and 49,74% wood debris for meso-sizes. The highest abundance was found at the Jeneberang estuary, then at the Losari Coastal Waters, and the lowest at the mouth of the Tallo River. The current pattern in Makassar City waters at low or high tide tends to move from north to south towards the Jeneberang River estuary, with the current speed getting southern and slower. This condition causes a high abundance of macro and meso debris at the mouth of the Jeneberang River.

Keywords: Marine debris, macro debris, meso-debris, floating, pollution, Makassar

## INTRODUCTION

Ocean currents play a significant role in the circulation of water areas. They are patterns of water movement that are driven by winds, tides, and differences in water density. These currents transport heat, marine organisms, nutrients, and dissolved gases such as carbon dioxide and oxygen (Hay, 2017). Ocean currents play an important role in the distribution and accumulation of marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021; Faizal et al., 2021; NOAA, 2016). Ocean currents control the distribution and accumulation of floating marine debris (Chassignet et al., 2021), carrying it into concentrations known as the Ocean Garbage Patch (Samurovic, 2021). The particles from the model also migrate to the garbage patch due to ocean currents (NOAA, 2016), and its distribution in the ocean is poorly mapped due to the influence of ocean currents on its movement. Once beneath high-pressure systems, the floating debris appears to meander aimlessly, further demonstrating how ocean currents affect its accumulation (van Sebille et al., 2020).

Marine debris is any persistent, manufactured, or processed solid material discarded, disposed of, or abandoned in the marine and coastal environment (Richards & Beger, 2011; Jambeck et al., 2015; NOAA, 2016; Agumuthu et al., 2019). Marine debris is the result of waste from anthropogenic activities, which then enters the marine environment through marine hydrodynamic activities (NOAA, 2016) or rivers, disposal canals, (Offer et al., 2012), waste from

Commented [ID1]: Please pay attention for the typo.

Commented [Af2R1]: has been checked and revised

Commented [ID3]: It's too long sentence. How 'bout in the sea waters ?

Commented [af4R3]: Has been revised

39 ships, tourism activities (Van Cauwenberghe & Janssen, 2014) and the movement of waste  
40 carried by wind and ocean currents (GESAMP, 2019).

41 Marine debris accumulation in marine waters may have a bad impact to marine  
42 ecosystems, silting of river estuaries, decreasing the aesthetic value of tourism areas, and  
43 ultimately reducing the quality of life of the community (Gregory, 2019). Furthermore, Jambeck  
44 et al. (2015) reported that the number of marine debris in 2015 in world waters was around  
45 36.5 million metric tons (MMT) and Indonesia contributed 3.22 MMT, which ranks second after  
46 China from 192 coastal countries. It is even estimated that if there is no serious handling of  
47 marine debris, in 2025 there will be an increase in marine debris of around 52.21% or around  
48 69.9 MMT (Jambeck. et al., 2015; Barboza et al., 2019).

49 The city of Makassar, which is located in a coastal area, is vulnerable to marine debris  
50 threats. Research results show that there is an accumulation of marine debris with quite a high  
51 abundance (Asmal et al., 2021; Rafsanjani et al., 2021). This study aims to map the distribution  
52 of surface marine debris abundance based on the influence of ocean current patterns and  
53 velocity.

Commented [ID5]: Too long for 1 sentence. Please, clearly mention the purpose of the research.

Commented [Af6R5]: Has been revised

## 56 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 57 Research sites

58 This research was conducted in October 2020, which is located in the sea waters of  
59 Makassar City. Sample analysis was carried out at the Marine Ecotoxicology Laboratory, Faculty  
60 of Marine Science and Fisheries, Hasanuddin University. Determination of data collection  
61 points using the purposive sampling method, taking into account the observed parameters  
62 and the representativeness of the area coverage. The distribution of sampling points is as  
63 shown in Figure 1, respectively; Station 1 at the Jeneberang River Estuary (119°22'48.455"E and  
64 5°11'31.361" S), Station 2 at Losari Beach (119°23'50.611" E and 5°7'57.954" S), and station 3  
65 at the Tallo River Estuary (119°26'37.639" E and 5°5'36.493" S).





Figure 1. Location of research data collection

## Methodology

The size of marine debris in the waters is based on the criteria of Lippiat et al. (2013) and is divided into several classifications; mega (> 100 cm), macro (>2.5 - <100 cm), meso (>0.5 - <2.5 cm), micro (>0.033 - <0.5 cm) and nano (<1  $\mu\text{m}$ ), in this study the categories measured are macro and meso. The transect technique used in this study was modified from (Lippiatt et al. 2013). Each station is made of a transect with a size of 2000 x 2000 m, where each station has five substations with a size of 400 m x 400 m, then each substation has four tracks with a length of 300 m each. Sampling method using Neuston Net (neuston net specifications; mesh size 0.5 mm, net size 1.5 x 0.50 m). The nets attached to the boats are towed at each substation with a maximum speed of 5 knots.



79  
80 Figure 2. Sampling scheme at each station  
81

82 The waste collected from each transect is separated based on the size of the waste (macro and  
83 meso), Then calculated the amount of waste and the weight of marine debris for each  
84 category. The current measurement uses an Electric Current Meter (ECM) at the highest tide  
85 conditions until near low tide.

86 **Data analysis**

87 The density of beach waste based on the amount and weight is calculated by equation  
88 1 (Lippiatt et al. 2013)

89 
$$Abundance (K) = \frac{n}{l \times p} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

90 Where n = amount of marine debris (item); p = transect length (km) and l = net width (km)

91  
92 The spatial distribution of marine debris was mapped based on the abundance at each  
93 sub-station. Analysis of the distribution of current direction and speed data for seasonal  
94 periods, using the RMA-2 module. (equations 2, 3, and 4) (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003).

95 The mass equation as below:

96 
$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + h \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0 \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

97 Momentum equation:

98 In the x-direction:

99 
$$h \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{xx} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{gu \dot{n}}{(1.48 \theta^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \cos \psi - 2h \alpha v \sin \phi = 0 \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

100 In the y-direction:

Commented [ID7]: Please using a same space.  
Commented [Af8R7]: Ok

$$h \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + hu \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + hv \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{h}{\rho} \left( E_{yx} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + E_{yy} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) + gh \left( \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{g \omega n^2}{(1.48 \theta^{1/6})^2} + (u^2 + v^2)^{1/2} - \zeta V_a^2 \sin \psi - 2h \omega \sin \phi = 0 \quad \dots\dots (4)$$

where:  $h$ = water depth (m);  $t$  = time (sec);  $u, v$  = velocity component in X and Y axis (vector);  $\rho$  = fluid density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>);  $g$ = gravity acceleration (m<sup>2</sup>/sec.);  $E$  = viscosity coefficient of turbulence (xx, of in the normal towards X axis, yy, in the normal towards Y axis. xy and yx, of coincides in X and Y direction, respectively);  $a$ = bottom water elevation;  $n$ = Manning coefficient;  $\zeta$  = wind shear coefficient;  $V_a$ = wind speed (m/sec);  $\psi$  = wind direction (deg);  $\omega$  = angular velocity (rad/sec); and  $\phi$  = latitude (deg).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**General Condition**

Makassar city is located in the south of Sulawesi Island, administratively included in South Sulawesi Province. Geographically, it is directly opposite the Makassar Strait. Makassar City waters are strongly influenced by current movements, both east monsoon and west monsoon currents. The research was carried out in the sea waters of Makassar City, Station 1 at the estuary of the Jeneberang with outlet characteristics from the mainland, tourism activities, and sea transportation. Station 2 is in the vicinity of Losari coastal waters, at this station, there are three canals for city debris disposal and tourism activities. Station 3 is around the estuary of the Tallo River which is close to the harbour and fish auction.





Figure 3. General condition of sampling locations in Makassar City Waters (a) Jeneberang River Estuary (b) Losari Beach Waters and (c) Tallo Estuary

**Ocean current patterns**

Ocean currents greatly influence the movement of marine debris, based on the results of modelling and field tests, the current conditions in the observation period for each station are shown in Figure 4.



127 Figure 4. The pattern of ocean currents at the time of observation, (left = low tide condition)  
 128 and (right = high tide condition) at each station (a,b) Jeneberang River Estuary (c,d) Losari  
 129 Beach Waters, and (e,f) Tallo River Estuary

130  
 131 The current modelling results in Figure 4(a). shows that in low tide conditions, the  
 132 current in the Jeneberang River estuary moves predominantly south-westward away from  
 133 Makassar mainland and then turns southward with an average speed of 0.04-0.08 m/s, while  
 134 the maximum speed in the estuary area is 0.28-0.33 m/s. In high tide conditions, the current  
 135 moves northward and then turn eastward towards the mainland. Furthermore, the current  
 136 speed increases at high tide in the estuary and coastal areas with a dominant speed of 0.8-  
 137 0.12 m/s and a maximum speed of 0.48-0.52 m/s at the mouth of the river.

138 Figure 4(b) shows that the sea currents in the waters of Losari Beach are in low tide, the  
 139 dominant currents move westward away from Makassar mainland with an average current  
 140 speed of 0.08-0.16 m/s while the maximum speed is around the coast. Losari in the range of


Commented [ID9]: The legend is unclear. Please make it clearly.

Commented [af10R9]: Has been corrected

141 0.48-1.04 m/s. Whereas in the conditions towards the tide the current moves from open water  
142 towards the mainland, with an average speed of 0.8-0.12 m/s.

143 Figure 4 (c) shows the movement of currents in the Tallo Estuary in low tide conditions,  
144 the currents move away from the mainland with an average speed of 0.12-0.13 m/s, in high  
145 tide conditions the current moves from east to west. the west direction is then diverted to the  
146 north by existing current drag such as reclamation and river estuaries. The average current  
147 speed is 0.14-0.16 m/s with a maximum current speed around the reclamation area with a  
148 speed range of 0.20-0.26 m/s.

149 The difference in the current pattern of each station is caused by the dominance of the  
150 local current pattern more dominant than the regular current pattern. Based on the  
151 observations, the average current speed at each observation station is shown in Figure 5. The  
152 average current speed at the Jeneberang River Estuary is 0.34 m/s, Losari Beach is 0.37 m/s  
153 and Tallo River Estuary is 0.48 m/s.



154  
155 Figure 5. Average current velocity from field measurements at each station

156 The characteristics of ocean currents in Makassar City waters are influenced by wind  
157 and tides. At low tide, the current will move from the mainland toward open water (Sugianti  
158 and ADS, 2007; Galgani et al., 2015a)

### 159 **Abundance and Distribution of Surface Marine Debris**

160 The total amount of macro debris found at the three stations was 219 items. The macro  
161 debris category was dominated by plastic debris (47.03%), respectively; The Jeneberang River  
162 estuary has plastic 35.64%, Losari Beach Waters 56.92%, and the Tallo River Estuary 56.60%,  
163 shown in Table 1. As for Meso-debris, based on observations at the three stations, the amount  
164 of marine debris found was 191 items, with a dominance of types of wood waste (49.74%). The

165 largest percentage of wood-type waste was found in the Jeneberang Estuary at 58.59%, then  
 166 Losari Beach Waters at 41.3%, and Tallo River Estuary at 39.13%, as shown in Table 2.

167 **Table 1. Total Amount and Composition of Macro Debris at Three Stations**

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	36	20000	35.64	37	20556	56.92	30	16667	56.60	103	47.03
Styrofoam	33	18333	32.67	5	2778	7.69	15	8333	28.30	53	24.20
Cloth	1	556	0.99	3	1667	4.62	2	1111	3.77	6	2.74
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.89	1	0.46
Metal	5	2778	4.95	3	1667	4.62	1	556	1.89	9	4.11
Paper	0	0	0.00	8	4444	12.31	0	0	0.00	8	3.65
Rubber	0	0	0.00	1	556	1.54	0	0	0.00	1	0.46
Wood	26	14444	25.74	8	4444	12.31	4	2222	7.55	38	17.35
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>56111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>36111</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29444</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>100</b>

168  
 169 **Table 2. Total Amount and Composition of Meso-Debris at Three Stations**

Type of Debris	Jennerberang River Estuary			Losari Beach Waters			Tallo River Estuary			Total	Percentage (%)
	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)	Amount (items)	Abundance (items/km <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage (%)		
Plastic	10	5556	10.10	13	7222	28.26	16	8889	34.78	39	20.42
Styrofoam	25	13889	25.25	12	6667	26.09	12	6667	26.09	49	25.65
Cloth	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Glass and Ceramic	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Metal	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Paper	6	3333	6.06	2	1111	4.35	0	0	0.00	8	4.19
Rubber	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
Wood	58	32222	58.59	19	10556	41.30	18	10000	39.13	95	49.74
Other	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0	0.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>55000</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>25556</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>100</b>

170  
 171 The total abundance of marine debris for macro and meso-sizes in each plot at each  
 172 station are shown in Figure 6 and the spatial analysis of macro and meso-debris distribution  
 173 on the surface sea is shown in Figure 7. The range of macro debris abundance for all stations  
 174 is 2222-1722 items/km<sup>2</sup> and the meso-debris abundance range for all stations is 2222-30556  
 175 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

176 The highest average abundance of macro debris was found at the Jeneberang River  
 177 Estuary and the lowest at the Tallo River Estuary. The highest average abundance was found  
 178 in plot 3 in the Jeneberang Estuary (17222 items/km<sup>2</sup>) and the lowest average abundance was  
 179 found in plot 4 in the Tallo River estuary (2222 items/km<sup>2</sup>). Likewise, for meso-size marine  
 180 debris the highest average abundance was also found in the Jeneberang River estuary and the  
 181 lowest was in the Tallo River estuary where the highest abundance was in plot 1 Jeneberang  
 182 River (30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>), while the lowest average abundance of meso-debris was found in  
 183 plots 5 River mouths of 2222 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>.





Figure 6. Total abundance of macro and meso-debris in Marine waters of Makassar City.

184  
 185  
 186 The research data shows that spatially the abundance of macro debris and meso-debris  
 187 in the marine waters of Makassar City is highest around the Jeneberang River estuary. The  
 188 high abundance of marine debris in the Jeneberang River estuary is thought to originate from  
 189 river runoff (Allopps, 2006) and the accumulation of transportation processes from the river  
 190 mouth to the waters (Willis et al., 2017), wind and drainage canals (Lee et al., 2017). Other  
 191 sources of marine debris are thought to be from tourism activities in the surrounding area,  
 192 one of which is Tanjung Bayang Beach. The results of research by Fadhlin et al., (2016) explain  
 193 that the number of tourists in Tanjung Bayang is around 5738 people/day, which of course will  
 194 be a contributor to waste in the surrounding waters if there is no proper marine debris  
 195 management. In line with that, Cheshire et al. (2009) explained that most debris found in the  
 196 waters is in the form of household waste. The Jeneberang River basin passes through several  
 197 cities such as Makassar, Malino, Bili-Bili, and Sungguminasa. The percentage of use of the  
 198 downstream part of the Jeneberang River basin consists of forests (69%), paddy fields (5%),  
 199 agriculture (12%), urban areas (14%), and urban land covering 101.78 km located in the estuary  
 200 area of the Jeneberang River (Fahmi, 2015).

Commented [ID11]: This result should be connected with ocean patterns.

Commented [Af12R11]: the author has mentioned on line 226-257




201 Figure 7. Map of distribution the total abundance of macro debris (above) and meso-debris  
 202 (below) at each station  
 203

204 In comparison between the amount of macro and meso-debris, meso-debris has a larger  
 205 amount, this is due to the size of meso-debris, which is the result of the decomposition of  
 206 mega and macro debris. The cause of the decomposition of marine debris is the length of time  
 207 the waste is in the sea and the hydrodynamic action of seawater causes the weathering of

208 macro debris to meso-debris (Sebille et al., 2015), physical, chemical, and biological processes  
209 which include UV radiation, wave action and degradation by microbes (Lee et al., 2017). Based  
210 on the results of field observations that the size of meso-debris is dominated by types of wood,  
211 when inundated by seawater the types of wood will easily decompose into smaller forms. The  
212 half-life of wood species tends to be faster than plastic (Fendall and Sewell, 2009). The data  
213 also shows that the highest amount of meso-debris is 30556 items/km<sup>2</sup>, which is similar to the  
214 amount of marine debris found by Isman, (2016) in the coastal area of Makassar City with an  
215 abundance of 36,450 items/km<sup>2</sup>.

216 The results of the spatial analysis using the interpolation method for the distribution of  
217 macro and meso-debris are shown in Figures 8a and 8b. The two figures show that the largest  
218 abundance of marine debris is found in the southern part of the Jeneberang River Estuary.  
219






Figure 8. Map of distribution of macro debris (above) and meso-debris (below) abundance in the sea waters of Makassar City.

220  
221  
222

223 In Losari Beach waters, there are 3 water canals suspected as a source of marine debris  
224 supply, namely; Jongaya, Haji Bau, and Rotterdam canals. The abundance of marine debris  
225 found in Losari Beach waters ranges from 3889-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> for macro debris and 4444-  
226 12,222 items/km<sup>2</sup> for meso-debris, with the greatest abundance located around Lae-lae Island.  
227 This shows the small supply of waste from the disposal canals and the possibility that the high  
228 marine debris at the station originates from accumulation due to the movement of ocean  
229 currents. Coastal reclamation around station 2 causes a shift in current patterns which causes  
230 the accumulation of debris in the southern part of the reclamation area (Station S2-2). This  
231 condition is corroborated by the opinion of Jaya, (2012) that reclamation greatly affects the  
232 physical and chemical conditions of seawater. Apart from the influence of current patterns, it  
233 is suspected that another reason for the high abundance of marine debris around Lae-lae  
234 Island (station S2-4) is due to tourism activities. This is in line with the results of Syaktia et al.,  
235 (2017) using the trawling method, that a large amount of accumulated waste in the intertidal  
236 zone along the Cilacap coast comes from beach tourism and runoff from the Donan and  
237 Serayu Rivers.

238 At the station in the Tallo River estuary, an abundance of macro debris was found in  
239 the range of 2222-5556 items/km<sup>2</sup> and an abundance of meso-debris in the range of 2222-  
240 11111 items/km<sup>2</sup>. Figure 8 shows that the farther from the mouth of the river the abundance  
241 of marine debris is higher. The source of marine debris around the Tallo River estuary is  
242 thought to originate from the Tallo River, the Paotere Fish Auction Site, Industry, and  
243 settlements. This is corroborated by Setiawan (2013) that the mouth of the Tallo River is a  
244 place for waste disposal originating from the Makassar Industrial Area, and transportation  
245 activities. In addition, household waste also greatly influences the high abundance of debris,  
246 especially types of plastic in water (Jambeck et al., 2015).

247 If this is related to the movement of ocean currents in Figures 4 and 5, which tend to  
248 move southward and experience a slowdown when in the Jeneberang River Estuary, this causes  
249 a high abundance of macro and meso-debris in that location. Tables 1 and 2 also show that  
250 the largest percentage of the waste is found in the Jeneberang River Estuary, where for the  
251 macro size it is dominated by plastic debris, and for the meso-size it is dominated by wood  
252 debris. The results of other studies show that generally trash is rarely found in waters with  
253 strong currents and high-water masses, trash will sink when it loses its buoyancy (Galgani et  
254 al., 2015b).

## 255 **CONCLUSION**

256 | The The current pattern in Makassar City waters at low or high tide tends to move  
257 from north to south towards the mouth of the Jeneberang River, and similarly, the current  
258 speed is getting souther and slower. This condition causes a high abundance of macro and  
259 meso debris at the mouth of the Jeneberang River. The type of plastic debris dominates the  
260 size of the macro-debris, and the type of wood dominates the meso-debris.

## 261 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

262 This article is a part of research funded by the National Research Agency (BRIN) through the  
263 Hasanuddin University Research and Service Institute. Multi-year Basic Research Scheme  
264 based on Research Contract No. 1516 / UN4.22 / PT.01.03 / 2020 the Year 2020.

265

266

267

## 268 **DAFTAR PUSTAKA**

Commented [ID13]: Please make it clear conclusion. It should be describe about the influence of ocean pattern on surface marine debris.

Commented [af14R13]: Has been revised

269 Agamuthu, P., Mehran, S. B., Norkhairah, A., Norkhairiyah, A. 2019. **Marine debris: A review**  
270 **of impacts and global initiatives**. Waste management & research : the journal of the  
271 International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA, 37(10), 987–1002.  
272 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X19845041>

273 Allsopp M., Walters A., Santillo D., Johnston P. (2006) **Plastic Debris in the World's**  
274 **Oceans**. Greenpeace Netherlands.

275 Asmal M, Shinta W, Sulaiman G, Wasir S, Lanuru M. 2021. **Identification of floating**  
276 **marine debris based on sea surface current pattern in Barrangcaddi Island,**  
277 **Makassar City**. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan Perikanan Fakultas  
278 Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. p 295-304

279 Barboza L G A, Cózar A, Gimenez B C G, Barros T L, Kershaw P J, Guilhermino L. 2019  
280 **Macroplastics Pollution in the Marine Environment, in: World Seas**. An  
281 Environmental Evaluation. Elsevier, pp. 305–328

282 Chassignet EP, Xu X and Zavala-Romero O, 2021. **Tracking Marine Litter With a Global**  
283 **Ocean Model: Where Does It Go? Where Does It Come From?**. Front. Mar. Sci.  
284 8:667591. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.66759

285 Cheshire A., and Adler E., 2009. UNEP/IOC **Guidelines on Survey and Monitoring of**  
286 **Marine Litter. United Nations Environment Program. UNEP. Intergovernmental**  
287 **Oceanographic Commission, IOC**.

288 Fadlin F., Marfai M.A., Kurniawan A. 2016. **Potensi Wisata dan Preferensi Visual Langskap**  
289 **Wisatawan Untuk Pengembangan Pariwisata Pesisir (Kasus: Pantai Angin Mamiri**  
290 **dan Tanjung Bayang Kota Makassar)**. Majalah Geografi Indonesia 30(1) 19-28.

291 Fahmi M.C. 2015. **Pengelolaan Daerah Aliran Sungai Jeneberang Kota Mkassar**  
292 **Sulawesi Selatan**. Geografi Regional Indonesia. DOI: 0606071645

293 Faizal, A., Werorilangi, S., Samad, W., Lanuru, M., Dalimunte, W. S., Yahya, A. 2021. **Abundance**  
294 **and spatial distribution of marine debris on the beach of Takalar Regency, South**  
295 **Sulawesi**. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 763(1), 012060.  
296 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/763/1/012060

297 Fendall L.S., Sewell M.A. 2009. **Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face:**  
298 **Microplastics in facial cleansers**. Marine Pollution Bulletin 58(8):1225-1228.

299 Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015a. **Chapter 2 Global Distribution, Composition and**  
300 **Abundance of Marine Litter**. Marine Anthropogenic Litter 1:29. DOI: DOI 10.1007/978-  
301 3-319-16510-3\_2.

302 Galgani F., Hanke G., Maes T. 2015b. **Global Distribution, Composition and Abundance of**  
303 **Marine Litter. Marine Anthropogenic Litter** 2:29. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-16510-  
304 3\_2.

305 GESAMP, 2019. **Guidelines for the monitoring and assessment of plastic litter and**  
306 **microplastics in the ocean** (Kershaw P J, Turra A amd Galgani F editors).  
307 (IMO/FAO/UNESCOIOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP/ISA Joint Group of  
308 Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection) Rep. Stud.  
309 GESAMP, No. 99. Henry, B., Laitala, K., and Grimstad, I. 2019. Science of the Total  
310 Environment Micro fi bres from apparel and home textiles : Prospects for including  
311 microplastics in environmental sustainability assessment. Science of the Total  
312 Environment. 652 483–494

313 Gregory M. R. 2009. **Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings--**  
314 **entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien**

315 *invasions*. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B,  
316 Biological sciences, 364(1526), 2013–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>

317 Hays, G. C. 2017. ***Ocean currents and marine life***. Current Biology, 27(11), R470-R473.  
318 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.01.044>

319 Isman. F.M., 2016. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut di Kawasan Wisata Pantai Kota Makassar***.  
320 Skripsi. Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan. Unhas. Makassar

321 Jambeck J.R., Geyer R., Wilcox C., Siegler T.R., Perryman M., Anthony Andrady, Narayan R.,  
322 Law K.L. 2015. ***Plastic waste Inputs from land Into The Ocean***. American Association  
323 For The Advancement Of Science. 437:768-771. DOI: DOI: 10.1126/science.1260352

324 Jaya A.M. 2012. ***Kajian Kondisi Lingkungan Dan Perubahan Sosial Ekonomi Reklamasi***  
325 ***Pantai Losari Dan Tanjung***. Tesis. Program Pasca Satajana Universitas Hasanuddin.

326 Lee, J., Lee, J., Hong, S., Hong, S. H., Shim, W. J., Eo, S. 2017. ***Characteristics of meso-sized***  
327 ***plastic marine debris on 20 beaches in Korea***. Marine pollution bulletin, 123(1-2),  
328 92–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.020>

329 Lippiatt S., Opfer S., Arthur C. 2013. ***Marine Debris Monitoring and Assessment***  
330 ***Recommendations for Monitoring Debris Trends in the Marine Environment***, in:  
331 N. M. D. P. N. O. a. A. Administration (Ed.), NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-  
332 46National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program, 2016).  
333 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program (NOAA). 2016.  
334 ***Report on Marine Debris Impacts on Coastal and Benthic Habitats***. Silver Spring,  
335 MD: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Marine Debris Program.

336 Opfer S., Arthur C., Lippiatt S. 2012. ***NOAA Marine Debris Shoreline Survey Field Guide***,  
337 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and I.M. Systems Group, Inc., USA.

338 Rafsanjani, Shinta. W., Wasir .S., Amran. S., Faizal. A., 2021. ***Identifikasi Sampah Laut***  
339 ***Terapung (Floating Marine Debris) Berdasarkan Pola Musim di Perairan Pulau***  
340 ***Barranglompo, Kota Makassar***. Prosiding Simposium Nasional VIII Kelautan dan  
341 Perikanan Fakultas Ilmu Kelautan dan Perikanan, Universitas Hasanuddin. P 285-294

342 Richards Z. T., Beger M., 2011. ***A quantification of the standing stock of macro-debris in***  
343 ***Majuro lagoon and its effect on hard coral communities***. Marine Pollution Bulletin,  
344 62(8), 1693-1701

345 Samurović K, 2021. ***How Ocean Currents Move Pollution Around the World***.  
346 Geographyrealm ; <https://www.geographyrealm.com/author/katarina-samurovic/>

347 Sebille E.v., Wilco C., Lebreton L., Maximenko N., Hardesty B.D., Franeker J.v., Eriksen M., Siegel  
348 D., Galgani F., Lavender K. 2015. ***A global inventory of small floating plastic debris***.  
349 Environ Res. Lett 10. DOI: 10.1008/1748-9326/10/12/124006.

350 Setiawan H. (2013) Akumulasi dan Distribusi Logam Berat pada Vegetasi Mangrove di  
351 Perairan Pesisir Sulawesi Selatan. Jurnal Ilmu Kehutanan Vol VII No.1:12.

352 Syaktia A.D., Bouhroumc R., Hidayatib N.V., Koenawand C.J., Boulkamhc A., Sulistyob I,  
353 Lebarilliere S., Syafsir Akhlusf P.D., Wong-Wah-Chunge P. 2017. ***Beach macro-litter***  
354 ***monitoring and floating microplastic in a coastal area of Indonesia***. Marine  
355 Pollution Bulletin 112:10. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.046

356 Sugianto D.N., ADS A. 2007. ***Studi Pola Sirkulasi Arus Laut di Perairan Pantai Provinsi***  
357 ***Sumatera Barat***. Program Studi Oseanografi, Jurusan Ilmu Kelautan FPIK UNDIP  
358 Semarang 12 (2):79-92.

359 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2003. ***Users Guide To RMA2 WES Version 4.5. US Army,***  
360 ***Engineer Research and Development Center Waterways Experiment Station Coastal***  
361 ***and Hydraulics Laboratory pp 296***  
362 van Cauwenberghe, L., Janssen, C. R. 2014. ***Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human***  
363 ***consumption.*** Environmental Pollution, 193, 65-70.  
364 van Sebille, E., Aliani, S., Law, K. L., Maximenko, N., Alsina, J. M., Bagaev, A., . . . Wichmann, D.  
365 2020. ***The physical oceanography of the transport of floating marine debris.***  
366 Environmental Research Letters, 15(2), 023003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab6d7d)  
367 Willis, K., Denise Hardesty, B., Kriwoken, L., Wilcox, C. 2017. *Differentiating littering, urban*  
368 *runoff and marine transport as sources of marine debris in coastal and estuarine*  
369 *environments.* Scientific reports, 7, 44479. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44479>  
370

371

372

**Accepted**

Notifications



**[jipsp] Editor Decision**

2023-04-29 06:18 PM

Ahmad Faizal: The editing of your submission, "The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters," is complete. We are now sending it to production. Submission URL: <https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/iptekspsp/authorDashboard/submission/26391>  
IPTEKS <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/ipteks>

[\[jipsp\] Editor Decision](#)

**Publish**

### Notifications



## [jipsp] Editor Decision

2023-04-29 06:18 PM

Ahmad Faizal: The editing of your submission, "The Influence of Ocean Current Patterns on Surface Marine Debris Distribution In Makassar City Waters," is complete. We are now sending it to production. Submission URL: <https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/iptekspsp/authorDashboard/submission/26391>  
IPTEKS <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/ipteks>

[jipsp] Editor Decision